arXiv:1402.2907v2 [math.RT] 12 May 2014

EQUIVARIANT QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY AND YANG-BAXTER ALGEBRAS

VASSILY GORBOUNOV AND CHRISTIAN KORFF

Abstract. There are two intriguing statements regarding the quantum cohomology of partial flag varieties. The first one relates quantum cohomology to the affinisation of Lie algebras and the homology of the affine Grassmannian, the second one connects it with the geometry of quiver varieties. The connection with the affine Grassmannian was first discussed in unpublished work of Peterson and subsequently proved by Lam and Shimozono. The second development is based on recent works of Nekrasov, Shatashvili and of Maulik, Okounkov relating the quantum cohomology of Nakajima varieties with integrable systems and quantum groups. In this article we explore for the simplest case, the Grassmannian, the relation between the two approaches. We extend the definition of the integrable systems called vicious and osculating walkers to the equivariant setting and show that these models have simple expressions in a particular representation of the affine nil-Hecke ring. We compare this representation with the one introduced by Kostant and Kumar and later used by Peterson in his approach to Schubert calculus. We reveal an underlying quantum group structure in terms of Yang-Baxter algebras and relate them to Schur-Weyl duality. We also derive new combinatorial results for equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants such as an explicit determinant formula.

1. Introduction

Quantum cohomology was introduced in the 90'ies of the last century as a deformation of the usual multiplication in the cohomology of a manifold and has been in the centre of the interaction between modern mathematics and physics ever since; see e.g. [3, 4, 6, 14, 22, 52, 55]. Despite a massive volume of spectacular results obtained in the past 20 years, the theory of quantum cohomology is far from its final form and new unexpected connections between related mathematics and physics continue to appear.

In this paper we will discuss the relation between two such surprising results regarding quantum cohomology, one was discovered some time ago, the other is relatively new.

In unpublished but highly influential work [46] Peterson related the topology of the affine Grassmannian, and the quantum cohomology of finite-dimensional flag varieties, both associated with the same algebraic group G. Many of his results have been further explored and proved by several authors; we in particular refer to the discussions in [35] and [48] among others. Peterson's key tool is the affine nil-Hecke algebra introduced earlier by Kostant and Kumar [28] and its important

Date: 12 February, 2014.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14N35, 05E05, 05A15, 05A19, 82B23.

Key words and phrases. Gromov-Witten invariants, quantum cohomology, enumerative combinatorics, exactly solvable models, Bethe ansatz.

commutative subalgebra, called nowadays the *Peterson algebra*. In our discussion we shall make contact with the following result originally put forward in [46]: the quantum equivariant cohomology of the partial flag variety is a module over the affine nil-Hecke algebra.

The second development in the theory of quantum cohomology is rather recent. It was initiated in the work of Nekrasov, Shatashvili [45] and later developed mathematically by Braverman, Maulik and Okounkov [1, 40]. These authors work with a large class of algebraic varieties, the Nakajima varieties, of which the cotangent space of a partial flag variety is a particular example. Their result can be described as follows. Consider the disjoint union of the cotangent spaces $T^*\,\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}$ to the Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}$ of n-dimensional subspaces in the same ambient space of fixed dimension N. It has been known for some time that the equivariant cohomology of $T^*\,\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}$ can be identified with the space of states of an integrable system, the Heisenberg spin-chain [19, 20, 54, 49]. The algebra of "quantum symmetries" of this spin-chain is a quantum group, the Yangian of sl_2, making the direct sum of the equivariant cohomologies $H^*_T(T^*\,\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ with respect to the diemsnion n a module over the Yangian. The Yangian contains a commutative subalgebra, depending on a parameter, named *Bethe algebra*, see e.g. [49, 17]. It turns out that this subalgebra can be identified with the equivariant quantum cohomology $QH^*_T(T^*\,\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ of each individual summand; see [17] for a proof. The nil-Hecke algebra is a part of this construction [1], appearing presumably due to Schur-Weyl duality for the Yangian.

In this article we shall instead consider the union of the quantum cohomologies of the Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}$ themselves rather than those of their cotangent spaces. While it is currently not known how to endow the set of quantum cohomologies of all partial flag varieties with the structure of a quantum group module similar to the work of Nekrasov, Shatashvili [45] and Maulik, Okounkov [40], we link the two mentioned developments for the simplest case, the Grassmannian and, thus, make first steps towards filling this gap. Building on the earlier works [30] and [29], we introduce a quantum integrable lattice model whose space of states $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{N} \mathcal{V}_n$ is the direct sum of the quantum equivariant cohomologies, $\mathcal{V}_n \cong QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. The quantum integrable system is formulated in terms of certain solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and using the latter, one can define the so-called *Yang-Baxter algebra*, which acts on $\mathcal{V}$ and plays a role analogous to that of the Yangian in the setting of Maulik and Okounkov. As in the case of the Yangian also the Yang-Baxter algebra contains a commutative subalgebra, generated by the set of commuting transfer matrices, which we explicitly describe in terms of a particular representation of the affine nil-Hecke algebra. This representation is different from the one considered by Kostant and Kumar [28] and Peterson [46]. We discuss the affine Hecke algebra action on each $\mathcal{V}_n$ in the basis of Schubert classes and clarify its relation with the action defined in [46] by changing to the basis of idempotents in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})\otimes\mathbb{F}_q$, where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the completed tensor product $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/N}]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}$ with $\mathbb{F}$ being the algebraically closed field of Puiseux series in the equivariant parameters, $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}\{\{T_1, \ldots, T_N\}\}$. In particular, we identify the counterparts of Peterson's basis elements in the affine Hecke algebra in our setting and derive explicit formulae for them.

The second main result of our article is that we show that the appearance of the Yang-Baxter algebra is a special case of Schur-Weyl duality. As discussed in [49] the

equivariant cohomologies $H^*_T(\mathrm{Fl}_\ell)$ of flag varieties - of which the Grassmannian is the simplest example with $\ell = 2$ - naturally allow for an action of the current algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell[z] = \mathfrak{gl}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$ in the basis of idempotents. Similar to the case of the cotangent space discussed above, the current algebra contains a large commutative subalgebra which has been indentified in *loc. cit.* with the Bethe algebra or integrals of motion of the Gaudin model. This $\mathfrak{gl}_\ell[z]$-module structure is in Schur-Weyl duality with the natural action of the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_N$ on the idempotents which are labelled in terms of $\mathbb{S}_N$-cosets. Using our setup we are able to explicitly describe this symmetric group action in the basis of Schubert classes and show that it is directly connected to our integrable model by braiding two lattice columns. In particular, we prove that the action of the Yang-Baxter algebra commutes with this $\mathbb{S}_N$-action and, thus, must be contained in the current algebra according to Schur-Weyl duality. Our results extend to the quantum case.

We expect a number of consequences and generalisations from our construction. The immediate task is to include all partial flag varieties into the framework set out in this article, as well as to work with quantum K-theory instead of quantum cohomology. Another task we plan to address in future work is to describe our result as an appropriate limit of the construction of Maulik and Okounkov and to investigate the geometric origin of our action of the Yang-Baxter and nil-Hecke algebra.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the organisers Laurent Manivel and Éric Vasserot for their kind invitation to the ANR workshop *Quantum cohomology and quantum K-theory*, Paris Diderot, 15-17 January 2014, where the results of this article were presented. C. K. gratefully acknowledges discussions with Gwyn Bellamy and V. G. would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics Bonn where part of this work was carried out. Further thanks are due to Catharina Stroppel for her detailed and helpful comments on a draft manuscript, Jessica Striker for alerting us to the work [2] and Kaisa Taipale for making an extended abstract available.

1.1. **Overview of the main results.** We give additional details of our construction to help the reader find its way through what are sometimes lengthy and technical calculations. Starting point for our discussion is the following presentation of the T-equivariant quantum cohomology $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ of the Grassmannian due to Givental and Kim [18]. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}$ be the Grassmannian of subspaces of dimension n in $\mathbb{C}^N$ and set $k = N - n$. The group $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ induces an action of the torus $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^N$. Set $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_N]$, which can be identified with the T-equivariant cohomology of a point $H^*_T(\mathrm{pt})$, where the T_i's are called the equivariant parameters. Often it will be more convenient to work with the "reversed" parameters T_{N+1-i} instead and throughout this article we will use the notation $t_i = T_{N+1-i}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Givental-Kim)**.** *There exists an isomorphism of $\Lambda[q]$-algebras*

$$QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong \Lambda[q][a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_k]/I_n \ , \tag{1.1}$$

where I_n is the ideal generated by the relations

$$\sum_{i+j=r} a_i b_j = e_r(T_1, \ldots, T_N) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad a_n b_k = T_1 \cdots T_N + (-1)^n q \tag{1.2}$$

with $0 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, $a_0 = b_0 = 1$, $0 \leq r \leq N-1$ *and* e_r *is the* r^{th} *elementary symmetric polynomial.*

Note that the defining relations (1.2) of I are obtained by expanding the polynomial identity

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} u^i a_i\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} u^j b_j\right) = (-1)^n q u^N + \prod_{r=1}^{N}(1-uT_r) \tag{1.3}$$

in powers of the indeterminate u and comparing coefficients on both sides.

1.1.1. *Combinatorial construction: non-intersecting lattice paths.* We will define two different types of non-intersecting lattice paths on a cylinder of circumference N. The first type, so-called vicious walkers γ, come in n-tuples and the second type, so-called osculating walkers γ', come in k-tuples. These two types of lattice paths are linked via level-rank duality $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,N})$. Choosing a particular set of weights $\mathrm{wt}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\otimes\Lambda[q]$ - here the x_i's denote some commuting indeterminates called *spectral parameters* - we consider the problem of computing their weighted sums, so-called partition functions denoted by $\langle\lambda|Z_n(x|T)|\mu\rangle$. The latter depend on the start and end points of the paths on the cylinder which are fixed in terms of two partitions μ,λ which label Schubert classes in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. We will prove the following expansion

$$\langle\lambda|Z_n(x|T)|\mu\rangle := \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{\lambda,\mu}} \mathrm{wt}(\gamma) = \sum_{\nu\in(n,k)} q^d C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\nu}(T) s_{\nu^\vee}(x_1,\ldots,x_n|T) \tag{1.4}$$

into factorial Schur functions, where $\nu^\vee$ is the partition obtained by taking the complement of the Young diagram of ν in the $n\times k$ bounding box. The coefficients $C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\nu}(T) \in \Lambda$ are the *equivariant 3-point genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants* and our partition functions are therefore generating functions of the latter. Specialising the spectral parameters to be equivariant parameters, $x = T_\nu$, we obtain an explicit determinant formula (6.32) which expresses Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of $\langle\lambda|Z_n(T_\nu|T)|\mu\rangle$.

1.1.2. *Quantum group structures.* Let V_n be the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear span of the partitions which label the start or end positions of the vicious walkers on the lattice. To compute the partition functions it turns out to be useful to define an operator $Z_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\otimes\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_n)$ with $\mathcal{V}_n = \Lambda[q]\otimes V_n$ whose matrix elements give the partition functions above. We will identify $\mathcal{V}_n$ with $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ and the direct sum $\bigoplus_{n=0}^N \mathcal{V}_n$ with $\mathcal{V} = \Lambda[q]\otimes V^{\otimes N}$ where $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$; this is simply the parametrisation of Schubert classes in terms of binary strings or 01-words, see e.g. [27]. It turns out that one can write each Z_n as partial trace over an operator product, $Z_n = \mathrm{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} M_n\cdots M_2 M_1$, where $M_i = M(x_i) \in \Lambda[x_i,q]\otimes\mathrm{End}(V\otimes\mathcal{V})$. The M's are solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation, $R_{ii'}(x_i/x_{i'})M(x_i)M(x_{i'}) = M(x_{i'})M(x_i)R_{ii'}(x_i/x_{i'})$, and we use them to define the Yang-Baxter algebra $\subset \Lambda[q]\otimes\mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{V}$ mentioned previously. In particular, the operator coefficients for the partitions functions of vicious and osculating walkers when $n=1$,

$$Z_1 = H(x_1) = \sum_{r\geq 0} H_r x_1^r \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z_1' = E(x_1) = \sum_{r\geq 0} E_r x_1^r \tag{1.5}$$

generate a commutative subalgebra in the Yang-Baxter algebra. Here Z_n' denotes the partition function related to osculating walkers.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathbb{P}_n \subset \operatorname{End}\mathcal{V}_n$ be the $\Lambda[q]$-subalgebra generated by $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^k$. Mapping $E_i \mapsto (-1)^i a_i$ and $H_j \mapsto b_j$ in the notation of (1.1) provides a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{P}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. The pre-image of a Schubert class is given by*

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda = S_\lambda + \sum_{\mu\subset\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} \det(e_{\lambda_i-\mu_j-i+j}(T_{k+1+i-\lambda_i},\dots,T_N))_{1\le i,j\le n}\ S_\mu, \tag{1.6}$$

where $S_\lambda = \det(E_{\lambda'_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le k}$ and λ' is the partition conjugate to λ.

Expanding the transfer matrices into factorial powers $(x_1|T)^r = \prod_{j=1}^r (x_1 - T_j)$ instead, we will obtain Mihalcea's presentations [41] of $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. We will state explicit formulae relating both sets of generators in the text; see (4.10), (4.11), (4.18) and (4.19).

1.1.3. *Bethe ansatz and idempotents.* The eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrices E and H can be solved using the *algebraic Bethe ansatz* or *quantum inverse scattering method* from exactly solvable lattice models. The latter leads to an explicit algebraic construction of a common set of eigenvectors $\{Y_w\}$, called *Bethe vectors*, which depend on the solutions of a set of polynomial equations, called *Bethe ansatz equations*. For the non-equivariant quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian this has been discussed in [30, 29]. In order to solve the Bethe ansatz equations in the equivariant case we need to extend the base field to the previously mentioned completed tensor product $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/N}]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}$ where $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}\{\{T_1,\dots,T_N\}\}$. The Bethe vectors turn out to be the idempotents of $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})\otimes\mathbb{F}_q$ and we show that the latter algebra is semisimple, $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})\otimes\mathbb{F}_q \cong \bigoplus_w \mathbb{F}_q Y_w$, where the summands are labelled by the minimal coset representatives w with respect to $\mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n\times\mathbb{S}_k$ and $\mathbb{S}_r$ denotes the symmetric group in r letters.

Consider the braid matrices$\{\hat{r}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ which naturally arise from the mentioned solutions $M_i = M(x_i|T_1,\dots,T_N)$ of the Yang-Baxter equation by braiding two lattice columns in the integrable model,

$$\hat{r}_j M(x|T_1,\dots,T_N) = M(x_i|T_1,\dots,T_{j+1},T_j,\dots,T_N)\hat{r}_j, \tag{1.7}$$

and define operators $\boldsymbol{s}_j = (s_j\otimes 1)\hat{r}_j \in \operatorname{End}\mathcal{V}_n$ where $\mathcal{V}_n = \Lambda[q]\otimes V_n$ and s_j permutes the equivariant parameter T_j, T_{j+1} for $j = 1,2,\dots,N$. Then we have the following important results linking the Yang-Baxter algebra with Schur-Weyl duality.

Theorem 1.3.

(i) *The operators $\{\boldsymbol{s}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ define a level-0 action of the affine symmetric group $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$ on $\mathcal{V}_n$ with the action of $\boldsymbol{s}_N$ depending on q.*

(ii) *The corresponding $\mathbb{S}_N$-action commutes with the Yang-Baxter algebra, that is $\boldsymbol{s}_j M = M\boldsymbol{s}_j$ for all $j = 1,2,\dots,N-1$, and the $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$-action with the transfer matrices E and H.*

(iii) *The corresponding $\mathbb{S}_N$-action permutes the Bethe vectors according to the natural $\mathbb{S}_N$-action on the cosets $[w]$, i.e. $\boldsymbol{s}_j Y_{[w]} = Y_{[s_j w]}$.*

Expressing an element in the Schubert basis $\{v_\lambda\} \subset \mathcal{V}_n$ in the basis of idempotents, $v_\lambda = \sum_w \xi_\lambda(w) Y_w$, we find that (iii) implies that the coefficients $\xi_\lambda(w)$ obey the Goresky-Kottwitz-MacPherson (GKM) conditions [21]. Thus, we can identify the coefficients with localised Schubert classes $\xi_\lambda : \mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n\times\mathbb{S}_k \to \mathbb{F}_q$. For $q = 0$ a localised Schubert class takes values in Λ, but in the quantum case $q \neq 0$ we need

to use $\mathbb{F}_q$ instead. In the special case when j labels a simple reflection in $\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k$ for which $[s_j w] = [w]$, (iii) becomes an identity of quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov type,

$$\hat{r}_j Y_w(T_1, \dots, T_N) = Y_w(T_1, \dots, T_{j+1}, T_j, \dots, T_N)\,. \tag{1.8}$$

We will explain this in more detail after (5.31) in the text.

1.1.4. *The affine nil-Hecke algebra.* Let $\mathbb{A}_N$ denote the affine nil-Coxeter algebra which acts on Λ via divided difference operators ∂_j. Peterson used the affine nil-Hecke ring $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N = \Lambda \rtimes \mathbb{A}_N$ of Kostant and Kumar [28] to show that there exists a special basis $\{\jmath_w\}_w$ indexed by Grassmannian affine permutations $w \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$ of the centraliser subalgebra $\mathbb{P}_N = \mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N}(\Lambda)$ which can be identified with the Schubert classes in $H_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{SL_N})$. Furthermore, Peterson states an explicit formula for the action of the nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$ on localised Schubert classes $\{\xi_w : w \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N\}$; see also [34] [35, Eqn (5), Sec. 6.2].

An explicit description of the basis elements $\{\jmath_w\}_w$ is not known in general, even for type A. Instead the basis is characterised implicitly in terms of two properties, one of which states that the basis elements act on localised Schubert classes via $\jmath_w \xi_v = \xi_w \cdot \xi_v$ where the right hand side is defined by pointwise multiplication; see the comments after (6.36) in the text for further details.

In our description of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ we recover the action of Peterson's basis via the operators (1.6) when working in the basis of idempotents or Bethe vectors. Starting point is Peterson's action of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra on $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ which in our setting is introduced by defining generalised difference operators in terms of the braid matrix $\hat{r}_j$ appearing in (3.17). Using the explicit form of the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation we then define an action of the (non-extended) affine Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_N \subset \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N$ $\rho : \mathbb{H}_N \to \mathbb{Z}[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_N^{\pm 1}, q] \otimes \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes N})$ which is different from Peterson's $\mathbb{H}_N$-action as it commutes with the multiplicative action of Λ on $\mathcal{V}_n$. Furthermore, it takes a simple combinatorial form in the basis of Schubert classes.

Let $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ denote the generators of $\mathbb{H}_N$ and given a reduced word $w = i_1 \cdots i_r \in [N]^r$ set $\pi_w = \pi_{i_1} \cdots \pi_{i_r}$, $\bar{\pi}_i = 1 - \pi_i$ and $T_w = T_{N+1-i_1} \cdots T_{N+1-i_r}$.

Proposition 1.4. *The transfer matrices can be expressed as cyclic words in the generators of the affine nil-Hecke algebra. That is,*

$$E_i = \sum_{|w|=i}^{\circlearrowright} T_w \rho_n(\bar{\pi}_w) \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_j = \sum_{|w|=j}^{\circlearrowleft} T_w \rho_n(\pi_w) \tag{1.9}$$

with the sums respectively running over all reduced clockwise and anti-clockwise ordered words w of length i and j.

Despite the fact that the representation ρ is defined over the Laurent polynomials in the equivariant parameters, the product $T_w \rho_n(\pi_w)$ gives a well-defined map over the ring of polynomials Λ.

Theorem 1.5. *The action of the $\tilde{S}_\lambda$'s defined in (1.6) coincides with the action of the (projected) basis elements of Peterson in the basis of idempotents,*

$$v_\lambda \circledast v_\mu := \tilde{S}_\lambda v_\mu = \sum_\alpha \xi_\mu(\alpha) \tilde{S}_\lambda Y_\alpha = \sum_\alpha (\jmath_{w^\lambda} \xi_\mu(\alpha)) Y_\alpha, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu, \tag{1.10}$$

where $v_\lambda, v_\mu \in \mathcal{V}_n$ correspond to Schubert classes and w^λ is the minimal length representative of the coset in $\mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k$ fixed by λ.

As mentioned previously, Peterson gave an explicit combinatorial description for the action of the nil-Coxeter algebra on a Schubert class in [46]; see also [34] [35, Eqn (5), Sec. 6.2] which in our setting corresponds to (3.12) in the text. Here we extend the combinatorial action to the entire affine nil-Hecke algebra and, in particular, to Peterson's basis elements via (1.10), which allows us to give a direct combinatorial definition of the quantum product $\circledast$ in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ in the basis of Schubert classes using the operators (1.6) instead.

1.2. **Structure of the article.**

Section 2: We introduce the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and define the Yang-Baxter algebra.

Section 3: We construct representations of the symmetric group, affine nil-Coxeter and nil-Hecke algebra and relate the latter to Peterson's action on Schubert classes. We also derive the expressions of the transfer matrices as cyclic words in the generators of the affine nil-Hecke algebra and relate them to affine stable Grothendieck polynomials. This section contains the proofs of statements (i) and (ii) in Theorem 1.3.

Section 4: We explain the combinatorial interpretation of the Yang-Baxter algebra in terms of non-intersecting lattice paths and derive the explicit action of the transfer matrices in terms of toric tableaux. We expand the transfer matrices into factorial powers and show that the resulting coefficients satisfy Mihalcea's equivariant quantum Pieri rule.

Section 5: We present the algebraic Bethe ansatz and compute the spectral decomposition of the transfer matrices. This is the central step in proving our various results. We derive statement (iii) in Theorem 1.3 and show the GKM conditions for the basis transformation into Bethe vectors. We also explain how the qKZ equations arise.

Section 6: We give a purely combinatorial definition of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring in terms of the operators (1.6). Mapping operators onto their eigenvalues we obtain the various presentations of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ as coordinate ring. We state in more detail the relation between our construction and Peterson's basis.

The table below lists the various action of algebras and groups considered in this article and might provide a helpful reference point for the reader.

module	algebra/group	generators	action
$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[T_1, \ldots, T_N]$	affine symmetric group $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_\mathbb{N}$	$\{s_j\}_{j=1}^N$	(3.1)
	affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{N}$	$\{\partial_j\}_{j=1}^N$	(3.2)
$\mathcal{V} = \Lambda \otimes V^{\otimes N}$	affine symmetric group $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_\mathbb{N}$	$\{\boldsymbol{s}_j\}_{j=1}^N$	Prop 3.5
	affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{N}$	$\{\delta_j^\vee\}_{j=1}^N$	(3.12)
	affine nil-Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_\mathbb{N}$	$\{\pi_j\}_{j=1}^N$	(3.25)
	Yang-Baxter	A, B, C, D	(3.32)

N.B. that when setting $T_i = 0$ we recover the combinatorial description of the non-equivariant quantum cohomology $QH^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ of the Grassmannian; see [30] and [29]. Under this specialisation the above action of $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_\mathbb{N}$ on the space $\mathcal{V}$ becomes

trivial and the action of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ reduces to the action of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{N}}$ on the tensor factor $V^{\otimes N}$. The action of the Yang-Baxter algebra is modified accordingly but stays well-defined and, more importantly, its commutation relations remain unchanged; compare with [29, Eqns (3.9-10)]. The above table shows that the equivariant case has a much richer algebraic structure which allows us to make the connection with the nil-Hecke ring of Kostant and Kumar and Peterson's basis. The findings in this article are therefore a non-trivial extension of the previous works [30] and [29]. In particular, the connection of the Yang-Baxter algebra via Schur-Weyl duality to the current algebra action becomes only apparent in the equivariant setting.

2. Yang-Baxter Algebras

In this section we introduce the underlying algebraic structure of the lattice models which we will then connect to the quantum cohomology and the affine nil Hecke ring.

2.1. **Solutions to the Yang-Baxter equation.** Let $V = \mathbb{C}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_1$ and let $\sigma^- = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\sigma^+ = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\sigma^z = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ be the natural representation of $sl(2)$ in terms of Pauli matrices. The latter act on $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ via $\sigma^- v_1 = v_0$, $\sigma^+ v_0 = v_1$ and $\sigma^z v_\alpha = (-1)^\alpha v_\alpha$, $\alpha = 0, 1$. We introduce the abbreviations $V[x_i] := \mathbb{Z}[x_i] \otimes V$, $V[t_j] := \mathbb{Z}[t_j] \otimes V$ etc. Define the following L-operators $V[x_i] \otimes V[t_j] \to V[x_i] \otimes V[t_j]$ by setting

$$L_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_j - x_i t_j \sigma_j^- \sigma_j^+ & x_i \sigma_j^+ \\ \sigma_j^- & x_i \sigma_j^- \sigma_j^+ \end{pmatrix}_i \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$L'_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_j + x_i t_j \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- & x_i \sigma_j^+ \\ \sigma_j^- & x_i \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^- \end{pmatrix}_i \tag{2.2}$$

where the indices indicate that we have written the operator in matrix form with respect to the first factor in $V[x_i] \otimes V[t_j]$.

The following proposition states the key property of the L-operators which underlies the solvability of the statistical lattice models which we will discuss below.

Proposition 2.1. *The L, L'-operators satisfy Yang-Baxter equations of the type*

$$R_{ii'} L_{ij} L_{i'j} = L_{i'j} L_{ij} R_{ii'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_{jj'} L_{ij} L_{ij'} = L_{ij'} L_{ij} r_{jj'} \tag{2.3}$$

where R, r can be identified with 4×4 matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & c & 0 \\ 0 & d & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the matrix entries are given in the following table for each of the respective cases

	a	b	c	d	e	f
$R_{ii'}$	1	0	1	$x_{i'}/x_i$	$1 - x_{i'}/x_i$	$x_{i'}/x_i$
$R'_{ii'}$	1	$1 - x_i/x_{i'}$	$x_i/x_{i'}$	1	0	$x_i/x_{i'}$
$r_{jj'} = r'_{jj'}$	1	0	1	1	$t_j - t_{j'}$	1

(2.5)

Proof. A straightforward but rather tedious and lengthy computation which we omit. □

2.2. **Monodromy matrices & Yang-Baxter algebras.** It is a known fact that the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation carry a natural bi-algebra structure. Here we are only interested in the coproduct. Define coproducts $\Delta^{\mathrm{col}} : \mathrm{End}\, V_j \to \mathrm{End}\, V_j \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_{j+1}$ and $\Delta^{\mathrm{row}} : \mathrm{End}\, V_i \to \mathrm{End}\, V_i \otimes \mathrm{End}\, V_{i+1}$ by setting

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{col}} L_{ij} = L_{ij+1} L_{ij} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Delta^{\mathrm{row}} L_{ij} = L_{i+1j} L_{ij} \ . \tag{2.6}$$

One easily verifies that both coproducts are well-defined, i.e. they are algebra homomorphisms and are coassociative $(\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta)\Delta$. Alternatively, one can define the "opposite" coproducts,

$$\dot{\Delta}^{\mathrm{col}} L_{ij} = L_{ij} L_{ij+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \dot{\Delta}^{\mathrm{row}} L_{ij} = L_{ij} L_{i+1j} \ . \tag{2.7}$$

We shall denote by $\Delta^p = (\Delta \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1) \cdots (\Delta \otimes 1)\Delta$ the p-fold application of the various coproducts in the first factor.

Proposition 2.2. *$\Delta^{\mathrm{col}} L_{ij}$ and $\Delta^{\mathrm{row}} L_{ij}$ are solutions to the first and second Yang-Baxter equation in (2.3), respectively. Moreover, the coproduct structures "commute"*

$$\left(\Delta^{\mathrm{col}} \otimes 1\right) \Delta^{\mathrm{row}} = \left(\Delta^{\mathrm{row}} \otimes 1\right) \Delta^{\mathrm{col}} \ . \tag{2.8}$$

The same statements hold true for the opposite coproducts $\dot{\Delta}$.

The coproduct structures enables us to consider tensor products of the original spaces $V[x_i], V[t_j]$ which we interpret as rows and columns of a square lattice where i labels the rows and j the columns; see Figure 2.1 for an illustration.

We consider square lattices of different dimensions for the L and L'-operators which are linked to the dimension of the ambient space $N = n + k$, the dimension n of the hyperplanes and their co-dimension k. Namely, we set

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{N} V[t_j] \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_N] \otimes V^{\otimes N} \tag{2.9}$$

which is called the *quantum space*, and consider the so-called *auxiliary spaces*

$$W_r = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{r} V[x_i] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r] \otimes V^{\otimes r}, \qquad r = n, k \ . \tag{2.10}$$

We associate the tensor product $W_n \otimes \mathcal{V}$ with an $n \times N$ square lattice on which we will define the vicious walker model and $W_k \otimes \mathcal{V}$ with an $k \times N$ square lattice for the osculating walker model. The partition functions of these models are weighted sums over certain non-intersecting lattice paths and can be expressed as matrix elements of the following operator $\mathcal{Z} : W_n \otimes \mathcal{V} \to W_n \otimes \mathcal{V}$

$$\mathcal{Z} = (\Delta^{\mathrm{row}})^{n-1} M_1 = M_n \cdots M_2 M_1, \qquad M_i = L_{iN} \cdots L_{i2} L_{i1} \ , \tag{2.11}$$

where we have defined the following *row-monodromy matrix* $M_i = (\Delta^{\mathrm{col}})^{N-1} L_{i1} : V[x_i] \otimes \mathcal{V} \to V[x_i] \otimes \mathcal{V}$.

To motivate the latter identify the vertex in the ith row and jth column of the square lattice in Figure 2.1 with L_{ij}, then the operator (2.11) is obtained by reading out the rows of the $n \times N$ square lattice right to left starting from the bottom. We define the row-monodromy matrices M_i' for the $k \times N$ lattice in analogous fashion using the L'-operator instead.

The L_{ij} operators in (2.11) only act non-trivially in the ith row and jth column of the lattice, i.e. the ith factor in the tensor product W_n and the jth factor in $\mathcal{V}$, everywhere else they act as the identity operator. Thus, we can trivially



FIGURE 2.1. Graphical depiction of the L-operators and the monodromy matrices. Each operator L_{ij} is represented by a vertex in the ith row and jth column. The square lattice on the right then represents the operator (2.11) over the tensor product $W_n \otimes \mathcal{H}$ obtained by either reading out the lattice rows right to left, $M_n \cdots M_2 M_1$ or the lattice columns bottom to top, $m_N \cdots m_1$. Both expressions are equal as L-operators in different rows and columns commute. Braiding two lattice rows or two lattice columns then leads to the matrices $R_{i+1,i}$ and $r_{j+1,j}$, respectively.

re-arrange the operator (2.11) in terms of the *column-monodromy matrices* $m_j = (\Delta^{\mathrm{row}})^{n-1} L_{1j} : W_n \otimes V[t_j] \to W_n \otimes V[t_j]$,

$$\mathcal{Z} = (\Delta^{\mathrm{col}})^{N-1} m_1 = m_N \dots m_2 m_1, \qquad m_j = L_{nj} \cdots L_{2j} L_{1j}, \tag{2.12}$$

by reading out the lattice columns bottom to top starting at the right. Again, we define the column-monodromy matrices m'_j for the L'-operators in an analogous manner.

Corollary 2.3. *We have the following identities for respectively the row and column monodromy matrices,*

$$R_{ii'} M_i M_{i'} = M_{i'} M_i R_{ii'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_{jj'} m_j m_{j'} = m_{j'} m_j r_{jj'}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $i, i' = 1, \dots, n$ and $j, j' = 1, \dots, N$. The analogous identities hold for M' and m'.

Proof. The Yang-Baxter equations for the monodromy matrices are a direct consequence of (2.3) using the coproduct structures (2.6). □

The equations in (2.13) can be seen as definitions of algebras in $\operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}$ and $\operatorname{End} W_n$, respectively. Namely, for any i we can decompose the row monodromy matrix $M = M_i$ defined in (2.11) over the auxiliary space $V = V[x_i]$ as follows,

$$M = \sum_{a,b=0,1} e^{ab} \otimes M_{ab}, \qquad (M_{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix} A(x_i|t) & B(x_i|t) \\ C(x_i|t) & D(x_i|t) \end{pmatrix}_i \tag{2.14}$$

where e^{ab} are the 2×2 unit matrices and the matrix entries A, B, C, D are elements in $\mathbb{Z}[x_i] \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}$. Expanding the latter as in x_i their coefficients generate the so-called *row Yang-Baxter algebra* $\subset \operatorname{End} \mathcal{V}$ with the commutation relations of A, B, C, D given in terms of the matrix elements (2.5) of R via (2.13). The row Yang-Baxter algebra for the monodromy matrix M' associated with L' is defined analogously.

Similarly, we decompose the column monodromy matrix (2.12) over the jth column $V[t_j]$ setting

$$m_j = \begin{pmatrix} a(x|t_j) & b(x|t_j) \\ c(x|t_j) & d(x|t_j) \end{pmatrix}_j \tag{2.15}$$

where the matrix entries a, b, c, d are now elements in $\mathbb{Z}[t_j] \otimes \operatorname{End} W_n$. Similar to the case of the row Yang-Baxter algebra the entries a, b, c, d generate the *column Yang-Baxter algebra* $\subset \operatorname{End} W_n$ and their commutation relations are fixed via the second equality in (2.13) with the matrix elements of r given in (2.5).

2.3. **Quantum deformation.** We discuss a slight generalisation of the previous results which will allow us to introduce additional "quantum parameters" $q_1, \ldots, q_N$ in the monodromy matrices.

Lemma 2.4. *We have the following simple identity for the L-operators*

$$L_{ij}(x_i; t_j) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i = L(x_i q; t_j q^{-1}) \,. \tag{2.16}$$

The analogous statement holds true for L'_{ij}.

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions (2.1) and (2.2). □

Using the last result one proves via a similar computation as before the following identity:

Lemma 2.5. *We have the q-deformed Yang-Baxter equation*

$$r_{jj'}(q) L_{ij}(x_i; t_j) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i L_{ij'}(x_i; t_{j'}) = L_{ij'}(x_i; t_{j'}) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i L_{ij}(x_i; t_j) r_{jj'}(q), \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$r_{jj'}(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q^{-1}(t_j - t_{j'}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2.18}$$

Using this simple observation we generalise our previous formulae for the monodromy matrices by setting

$$M_i(q_1, \ldots, q_N) := L_{iN} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_N \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i \cdots L_{i2} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i L_{i1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_i \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$m_j(q_j) := L_{nj} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_j \end{smallmatrix}\right)_n \cdots L_{2j} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_j \end{smallmatrix}\right)_2 L_{1j} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q_j \end{smallmatrix}\right)_1 \,. \tag{2.20}$$

Employing the same type of arguments as in our previous discussion, one shows that these deformed monodromy matrices satisfy the same type of Yang-Baxter relations (2.17) as the non-deformed ones, the only difference lies in the braid matrix $r_{jj'}$ which is now replaced by $r_{jj'}(q_j)$. Since according to (2.16) we can re-introduce the quantum parameters easily be a simultaneous rescaling of the equivariant and spectral parameters we shall for simplicity set $q_1 = \cdots = q_N = 1$ for now. However,

below when we discuss the q-deformation of the cohomology ring we will choose $q_1 = q$ and $q_2 = \cdots = q_N = 1$.

2.4. **Row-to-row transfer matrices.** We now introduce periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal direction of the lattice by taking the partial trace of the operator (2.11) over the auxiliary space $V^{\otimes n}$. We obtain the following operator $Z_n : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \mathcal{V}$,

$$Z_n(x|t) = \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} M_n \cdots M_2 M_1 = \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} m_N \cdots m_2 m_1 \ . \tag{2.21}$$

The matrix elements of the latter are now partition functions of our lattice models on a cylinder and we will show that these are generating functions for Gromov-Witten invariants. We also define an operator Z'_k using instead the L'-operators and replacing $n \to k$ everywhere.

Lemma 2.6. *Denote by $H = Z_1 = A + D$ and $E = Z'_1 = A' + D'$. We have the relations*

$$Z_n(x|t) = H(x_n|t) \cdots H(x_2|t) H(x_1|t) \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$Z'_k(x|t) = E(x_k|t) \cdots E(x_2|t) E(x_1|t) \ . \tag{2.23}$$

The operators H, E are called the row-to-row transfer matrices.

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions (2.11), (2.21) and the fact that the L-operators $L_{ij}, L_{i'j'}$ commute if $i \neq i'$ and $j \neq j'$. □

The following statement is the cornerstone for the computation of the partition functions of our lattice models.

Proposition 2.7 (Integrability)**.** All *the row-to-row transfer matrices commute, that is we have that*

$$H(x_i)H(x_{i'}) = H(x_{i'})H(x_i), \qquad E(x_i)E(x_{i'}) = E(x_{i'})E(x_i) \tag{2.24}$$

as well as

$$H(x_i)E(x_{i'}) = E(x_{i'})H(x_i) \ . \tag{2.25}$$

In particular ,the operators Z_n, Z'_k are symmetric in the x-variables.

Proof. The last assertion is a direct consequence of the first Yang-Baxter equation in (2.13):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(x_1, \dots, x_n|t) &= \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} (R_{i,i+1} M_n \cdots M_1 R^{-1}_{i,i+1}) \\ &= \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} (M_n \cdots R_{i,i+1} M_i M_{i+1} \cdots M_1 R^{-1}_{i,i+1}) \\ &= \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} (M_n \cdots M_{i+1} M_i R_{i,i+1} \cdots M_1 R^{-1}_{i,i+1}) \\ &= \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} (M_n \cdots M_{i+1} M_i \cdots M_1) \\ &= Z_n(x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \dots, x_n|t) \end{aligned}$$

The proof for Z'_k follows along the same lines. Setting $n = k = 2$ we obtain (2.24).

To prove (2.25) one establishes the existence of additional solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation,

$$R''_{ii'} M_i M'_{i'} = M'_{i'} M_i R''_{ii'} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R'''_{ii'} M'_i M_{i'} = M_{i'} M'_i R'''_{ii'} \ , \tag{2.26}$$

where R'', R''' are again of the form (2.4) with

(2.27)

	a	b	c	d	e	f
$R''_{ii'}$	$1+x_i/x_{i'}$	1	$x_i/x_{i'}$	1	$x_i/x_{i'}$	0
$R'''_{ii'}$	0	-1	1	$x_i/x_{i'}$	$-x_i/x_{i'}$	0

Note that R'', R''' are both singular. However, from the combined Yang-Baxter equations (2.26) one derives the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} A(x|t)A'(y|t) &= A'(y|t)A(x|t) \\ A(x|t)D'(y|t) - A'(y|t)D(x|t) &= D'(y|t)A(x|t) - D(x|t)A'(y|t) \\ D(x|t)D'(y|t) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

for the row Yang-Baxter algebras. From the latter we then easily deduce that $H(x|t)E(y|t) = E(y|t)H(x|t)$ and the assertion now follows. □

We now state a functional identity that plays an essential role in our discussion as it directly relates the two row-to-row transfer matrices (4.3), (4.4) to the Givental-Kim presentation of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$.

Proposition 2.8. *The transfer matrices obey the following functional operator identity*

$$H(x|t)E(-x|t) = \prod_{j=1}^{N}(1-xt_j) + qx^N \prod_{j=1}^{N}\sigma_j^z \,. \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. A direct computation along the same lines as in [29]. □

2.5. **Level-Rank and Poincaré Duality.** We have the following relation between the two solutions L, L' of the Yang-Baxter equations (2.3).

Lemma 2.9. *Let* $L_{ij}v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes v_{\varepsilon_2} = \sum_{\varepsilon'_1,\varepsilon'_2=0,1}(L_{ij})^{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2} v_{\varepsilon'_1}\otimes v_{\varepsilon'_2}$ *and similarly define* $(L'_{ij})^{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}$. *We have that*

$$(L_{ij}(t))^{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2} = (L'_{ij}(-t))^{\varepsilon_1 1-\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon'_1 1-\varepsilon_2} \tag{2.30}$$

for all $\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_i = 0, 1$ *with* $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. The matrix elements $(L_{ij})^{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}$ and $(L'_{ij})^{\varepsilon'_1\varepsilon'_2}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2}$ can be explicitly computed from (2.1), (2.2). They are the weights of the vertex configurations given in Figure 4.1 in the next section where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2$ are the values of the W, N, E and S edge of the vertex. The assertion is then easily verified from the weights displayed in Figure 4.1. □

We now translate the relation between L and L' into a relation between the monodromy matrices M and M' and, thus, the associated Yang-Baxter algebras. As before we identify a basis vector $v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} \in V^{\otimes N}$ in quantum space with its 01-word $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cdots\varepsilon_N$ and introduce the following involutions,

$$\text{(reversal)} \qquad \mathcal{P} : \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cdots\varepsilon_N \mapsto \varepsilon_N\cdots\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1 \tag{2.31}$$

$$\text{(inversion)} \qquad \mathcal{C} : \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\cdots\varepsilon_N \mapsto (1-\varepsilon_1)(1-\varepsilon_2)\cdots(1-\varepsilon_N) \tag{2.32}$$

Note that by linear extension these maps become operators $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$. The following statement is now an immediate consequence of the last lemma and the definition of the monodromy matrices and we therefore omit its proof.

Corollary 2.10 (level-rank duality)**.** *We have the following transformation laws of the monodromy matrices M, M' under the joint map $\Theta = \mathcal{PC} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$,*

$$\Theta M(x|t)\Theta = M'(x|-T)^{t\otimes 1} \tag{2.33}$$

where $T_i = t_{N+1-i}$ and the upper index $t \otimes 1$ means transposition in the auxiliary space. This in particular implies the following identity for the row-to-row transfer matrices, $\Theta H(x|t)\Theta = E(x|-T)$.

Obviously $\mathcal{P}m_j(t_j)\mathcal{P} = m_{N+1-j}(T_{N+1-j})$ and we define the dual row monodromy matrices as

$$M_i^\vee(T) := (\dot\Delta^{\rm col})^{N-1} L_{i1}(T_1) = \mathcal{P}M_i(t)\mathcal{P} = L_{i1}(T_1)L_{i2}(T_2)\cdots L_{iN}(T_N)\,. \tag{2.34}$$

The partition functions with respect to the opposite coproduct are then given by computing matrix element of the operator

$$Z_n^\vee = \mathcal{P}Z_n\mathcal{P} = \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} M_n^\vee \cdots M_1^\vee = \operatorname*{Tr}_{V^{\otimes n}} m_1(T_1)m_2(T_2)\cdots m_N(T_N) \tag{2.35}$$

and $Z_k^* = \mathcal{P}Z_k'\mathcal{P}$ for the L'-operators. Note that since the dual monodromy matrices are defined in terms of the opposite coproduct they also obey the Yang-Baxter relation (2.3), $R_{ii'}M_i^\vee M_{i'}^\vee = M_{i'}^\vee M_i^\vee R_{ii'}$, where the R-matrix elements are given in (2.5).

Lemma 2.11. *The dual transfer matrices $H^\vee = Z_1^\vee$, $E^\vee = Z_1^*$ are the transpose of the transfer matrices E, H with respect to the standard basis $\{v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}\}$ in quantum space.*

Remark 2.12. *Recall that given three Schubert varieties s_λ, s_μ, s_ν one has in ordinary ($q = t_j = 0,\ j = 1,\dots,N$) cohomology that*

$$\int_{{\rm Gr}_{n,n+k}} s_\lambda s_\mu s_\nu = \int_{{\rm Gr}_{n,n+k}} s_{\lambda^\vee} s_{\mu^\vee} s_{\nu^\vee} = \int_{{\rm Gr}_{k,n+k}} s_{\lambda^*} s_{\mu^*} s_{\nu^*},$$

where $\lambda^\vee$ is the partition obtained from λ by taking the complement of its Young diagram in the $n\times k$ bounding box and $\lambda^* = (\lambda^\vee)'$ is the partition obtained by taking in addition the transpose. These first of these two identities is known as Poincaré duality, the second as level-rank duality. Employing the standard bijection between 01-words and partitions, the transformations $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^\vee$ and $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^*$ are given by the involutions $\mathcal{P}$ and Θ.

3. Representations of the affine nil Hecke algebra

Schubert calculus of flag varieties can be formulated in terms of divided difference operators known as Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) or Demazure operators. These extend to the equivariant setting in terms of Kostant and Kumar's nil Hecke ring [28] and the latter plays a central role in Peterson's [46] (unpublished) lecture notes which have been highly influential in the development of the subject. In this article we link the affine nil-Hecke ring to the quantum group structures which underlie the quantum integrable models mentioned in the introduction, vicious and osculating walkers. Namely the R, r-matrices which respectively braid lattice rows and columns induce representations of the affine nil-Hecke and nil-Coxeter algebra. The braiding of lattice columns turns out to be Peterson's action of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra on Schubert classes. To keep the article self-contained we briefly review the necessary definitions.

3.1. **Divided difference operators.** Our quantum space (2.9) consists of two tensor factors. The polynomial factor Λ is naturally endowed with several well-studied actions. Consider first the ring $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_N^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials in the equivariant parameters $t_i = T_{N+1-i}$ and let $\Lambda \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ be the subring of polynomials. The latter forms a left module for the *extended affine symmetric group* $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_N$. Namely, $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_N$ is generated by the simple reflections $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{N-1}\}$ and ϖ which act naturally on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ via the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} s_j f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) &= f(t_1, \ldots, t_{j+1}, t_j, \ldots, t_N), \qquad j = 1, \ldots, N-1 \\ \varpi f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) &= f(t_N + \ell, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{N-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ is called the *level*. Here we choose $\ell = 0$. The subgroup $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N \subset \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_N$ generated by $\{s_1, \ldots, s_N\}$ with $s_N = \varpi s_1 \varpi^{-1}$ is the *affine symmetric group*. While we have defined this action on $\tilde{\Lambda}$ it obviously restricts to Λ.

Definition 3.1 ([25])**.** *The affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$ is the unital, associative $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra generated by $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and relations*

$$\begin{cases} a_j^2 = 0 \\ a_j a_{j+1} a_j = a_{j+1} a_j a_{j+1} \end{cases},$$

where all indices are understood modulo N. The (finite) nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathrm{fin}}$ is the subalgebra generated by $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^{N-1}$.

Using the level-0 action (3.1) one defines the following representation of $\mathbb{A}_N^{\mathrm{fin}}$ in terms of divided difference operators $\{\partial_j\}_{j=1}^{N-1}$ which are known as Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand (BGG) or Demazure operators,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) &= (t_j - t_{j+1})^{-1}(1 - s_j) f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) \\ &= \frac{f(t_1, \ldots, t_N) - f(\ldots, t_{j+1}, t_i, \ldots)}{t_j - t_{j+1}} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

If we set in addition $\partial_N = \varpi^{-1} \partial_1 \varpi = (t_N - t_1)^{-1}(1 - s_N)$ with $\ell = 0$, then this representation extends to the affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$.

It is not obvious from their definition, but the difference operators ∂_i map the subring of polynomials $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_N]$ into itself and we will identify the latter with the equivariant cohomology of a point.

Proposition 3.2 ([25])**.** *The map $a_j \mapsto \partial_j$ is a representation of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$.*

Note that the ring $\tilde{\Lambda}$ acts on itself via multiplication. One has the following cross-relation or "Leibniz rule" in the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End} \tilde{\Lambda}$,

$$\partial_j f = (s_j f) \partial_j + (\partial_j f) , \qquad f \in \tilde{\Lambda} . \tag{3.3}$$

The semidirect or cross product $\mathbb{A}_N \ltimes \tilde{\Lambda}$ then yields the affine nil-Hecke ring of Kostant and Kumar [28]. The latter is a representation of what is often called the *extended affine nil-Hecke algebra* $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N(0)$ of type $\mathfrak{gl}_N$ in the literature.

For our discussion we do not require the full extended affine nil-Hecke algebra but instead only the *affine nil-Hecke algebra* $\mathbb{H}_N = \mathbb{H}_N(0)$ which is contained as a subalgebra, $\mathbb{H}_N \subset \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N$. The latter is finitely generated and can be defined as follows:

Definition 3.3 (affine nil-Hecke algebra)**.** *The affine nil-Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_N$ is the unital, associative algebra generated by $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_N\}$ subject to the relations*

$$\begin{cases} \pi_i^2 = -\pi_i, \\ \pi_i\pi_{i+1}\pi_i = \pi_{i+1}\pi_i\pi_{i+1}, \\ \pi_i\pi_j = \pi_j\pi_i, \qquad |i-j|>1 \end{cases}, \tag{3.4}$$

where all indices are understood modulo N. The finite nil-Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_N^{\rm fin}$ is the subalgebra generated by $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{N-1}\}$.

It is convenient to also introduce the alternative set of generators $\bar\pi_j := \pi_j + 1$ which obey the same braid relations, but satisfy $\bar\pi_j^2 = \bar\pi_j$ instead. The images of the generators π_j and $\bar\pi_j$ in the representation of divided difference operators are $s_j - \partial_j t_j$ and $s_j - t_{j+1}\partial_j$, respectively.

The actions of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_N$, $\mathbb{A}_N$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_N$ on Λ can be extended in the obvious manner to the quantum space (2.9) by acting trivially on the second tensor factor $V^{\otimes N}$. By abuse of notation we will use the same symbols for the respective operators in $\mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{V}$.

3.2. **Representations of the nil-Coxeter algebra in quantum space.** We now show that the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation (2.13) from the previous section also define actions of the affine nil-Hecke algebra $\mathbb{H}_N$ on the quantum space $\mathcal{V}$ but in terms of generalised divided difference operators which involve the braid matrix r from (2.13).

Let $p_{jj'} : V_j \otimes V_{j'} \to V_j \otimes V_{j'}$ be the flip operator, i.e. $p(v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_2}) = v_{\varepsilon_2} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_1}$ and set $\hat r_j = p_{j+1,j} r_{j+1,j}$, $\check r_j = p_{j,j+1} r_{j,j+1}$ where r is the matrix given in (2.5) and all indices are understood modulo N. Define the cyclic shift operator $\Omega : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ by

$$\Omega : v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_2} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} \mapsto v_{\varepsilon_N} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_2} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_{N-1}}, \tag{3.5}$$

then a simple but somewhat tedious computation shows that the following relations hold true.

Lemma 3.4. *The matrices $\hat r_j$ (and $\check r_j$) obey the following identities:*

(i) $\hat r_j^2 - 2\hat r_j + 1 = 0$

(ii) $\hat r_j(t_{j+1}, t_{j+2})\hat r_{j+1}(t_j, t_{j+2})\hat r_j(t_j, t_{j+1}) = \hat r_{j+1}(t_j, t_{j+1})\hat r_j(t_j, t_{j+2})\hat r_{j+1}(t_{j+1}, t_{j+2})$

(iii) *For $|i-j| \neq 1, N-1$ we have $s_i \hat r_j = \hat r_j s_i$ and otherwise*

$$s_j \hat r_j = \hat r_j^{-1} s_j, \qquad s_{j\pm1}\hat r_j = \hat r_j s_{j\pm1} + \Omega^{\mp1}(\hat r_{j\pm1} - 1)\Omega^{\pm1} s_{j\pm1}\ .$$

In all these identities the indices are understood modulo N.

Proof. A direct computation using the explicit form (2.5) of the solution r. From the latter we derive the following action of $\hat r_j$ on a basis vector,

$$\hat r_j v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} = \tag{3.6}$$
$$\begin{cases} v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} - (t_j - t_{j+1}) v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots v_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_j} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_{j+1} \\ v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Making repeatedly use of the above formula one now easily verfies the assertions. □

Employing the above lemma we obtain (i) in Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 3.5. *The operators $\boldsymbol{s}_j = s_j\hat{r}_j$ define a representation of the affine symmetric group $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$ on the quantum space $\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. Consider a basis vector $f \otimes v = f \otimes v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_2} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}$ in $\mathcal{V}$. Then it follows from the preceding lemma that

$$\boldsymbol{s}_j^2 = s_j\hat{r}_j s_j\hat{r}_j = \hat{r}_j^{-1}s_j^2\hat{r}_j = \hat{r}_j^{-1}\hat{r}_j = 1_{\mathcal{V}} \ .$$

To prove the braid relation verify from (3.6) that $\hat{r}_j = p_{j+1,j}r_{j+1,j} = 1 - t_{jj+1}\delta_j^\vee$ with $t_{ij} = t_i - t_j$ and $\delta_j^\vee = \sigma_j^+\sigma_{j+1}^-$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{s}_j\boldsymbol{s}_{j+1}\boldsymbol{s}_j &= s_j\hat{r}_j s_{j+1}\hat{r}_{j+1}s_j\hat{r}_j \\ &= s_j s_{j+1}s_j(1 - t_{j+1j+2}\delta_j^\vee)(1 - t_{jj+2}\delta_{j+1}^\vee)(1 - t_{jj+1}\delta_j^\vee)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{s}_{j+1}\boldsymbol{s}_j\boldsymbol{s}_{j+1} &= s_{j+1}\hat{r}_{j+1}s_j\hat{r}_j s_{j+1}\hat{r}_{j+1} \\ &= s_{j+1}s_j s_{j+1}(1 - t_{jj+1}\delta_{j+1}^\vee)(1 - t_{jj+2}\delta_j^\vee)(1 - t_{j+1j+2}\delta_{j+1}^\vee) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying out the brackets in each of the expressions and using that $\delta_j^\vee\delta_j^\vee = \delta_{j+1}^\vee\delta_j^\vee = 0$, $s_{j+1}s_js_{j+1} = s_js_{j+1}s_j$ one finds that both expressions are equal and, thus, the assertion follows. □

We now prove statement (ii) from Theorem 1.3, namely that the corresponding $\mathbb{S}_N$-action commutes with the action of the row Yang-Baxter algebra (2.14) and the $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$-action (dependent on q via (2.18)) with the transfer matrices.

Proposition 3.6. *The matrix elements (2.14) of the row monodromy matrix (2.11) commute with the above $\mathbb{S}_N$-action, i.e.*

$$\boldsymbol{s}_j M_{ab} = M_{ab}\boldsymbol{s}_j, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, N-1 \ . \tag{3.7}$$

In contrast, the row-to-row transfer matrices $H = H(t),\ E = E(t)$ obey

$$\boldsymbol{s}_j H = H\boldsymbol{s}_j \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \boldsymbol{s}_j E = E\boldsymbol{s}_j, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, N \ . \tag{3.8}$$

These relation continue to hold true for the q-deformed transfer matrices. However, only when setting $q = 1$, we have in addition

$$\Omega\ H(t)\ \Omega^{-1} = H(\varpi t) \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \Omega\ E(t)\ \Omega^{-1} = E(\varpi t) \ . \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. From (2.3) and (2.11) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{r}_j M(x|t_1, \ldots, t_N) &= L_N(x;t_N)\cdots\hat{r}_j L_{j+1}(x;t_{j+1})L_j(x;t_j)\cdots L_1(x;t_1) \\ &= L_N(x;t_N)\cdots L_{j+1}(x;t_j)L_j(x;t_{j+1})\hat{r}_j\cdots L_1(x;t_1) \\ &= M(x|t_1, \ldots, t_{j+1}, t_j, \ldots, t_N)\hat{r}_j\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first assertion. Exploiting (2.17) and the cyclicity of the trace in (2.21) with $n = 1$ one obtains the remaining assertions for H, E. □

Replacing the simple Weyl reflection s_j in the divided difference operators (3.2) with the braid matrix $\hat{r}_j$ we now attain two other representations of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$ over $\mathcal{V}_n$ which restrict to $V^{\otimes N}$ and, hence, commute with the actions of $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_N, \mathbb{A}_N, \mathbb{H}_N$ on Λ.

Proposition 3.7. *The maps*

$$a_j \mapsto \delta_j^\vee = \frac{1_{j+1,j} - \hat{r}_j}{t_j - t_{j+1}} = \sigma_j^+ \sigma_{j+1}^-, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$a_j \mapsto \delta_j = \frac{1_{j+1,j} - \check{r}_j}{t_{j+1} - t_j} = \sigma_j^- \sigma_{j+1}^+, \qquad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \tag{3.11}$$

are representations $\mathbb{A}_N \to \operatorname{End} V^{\otimes N}$ *with* $\mathcal{P}\delta_{N-j}\mathcal{P} = \delta_j^\vee$. *Here all indices are understood modulo* N. *We have the identities*

$$\delta_j^\vee v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} = \begin{cases} v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots v_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_j} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_{j+1} \\ 0, & else \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\delta_j v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} = \begin{cases} v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots v_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_j} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \varepsilon_j > \varepsilon_{j+1} \\ 0, & else \end{cases} . \tag{3.13}$$

Setting $q_1 = q$ *and* $q_2 = \cdots = q_N = 1$ *we obtain* $\delta_N^\vee(q) = q^{-1}\delta_N^\vee$ *and* $\delta_N(q) = q\delta_N$ *instead and both representations extend to* $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] \otimes V^{\otimes N}$.

Remark 3.8. *We will see in Section 5 how the operators (3.12) are related to the generalised divided difference operators first introduced by Peterson* [46, Lectures 6, 13, 14]. *The latter have also been discussed in* [27] *and* [51] *in the setting of GKM theory.*

Remark 3.9. *The representations (3.12), (3.13) factor through the* affine nil Temperley-Lieb algebra*, i.e. one has the additional relation*

$$\delta_j \delta_{j+1} \delta_j = \delta_{j+1} \delta_j \delta_{j+1} = 0 \, . \tag{3.14}$$

The affine nil Temperley-Lieb algebra has been used previously in [47, 30, 29] *to describe the non-equivariant quantum cohomology ring.*

Proof. As already mentioned previously in the proof of Prop 3.5 one verifies from (2.5) that $\hat{r}_j = p_{j+1,j} r_{j+1,j} = 1 - (t_j - t_{j+1})\delta_j^\vee$ and $\check{r}_j = p_{j,j+1} r_{j,j+1} = 1 + (t_j - t_{j+1})\delta_j$ with

$$\delta_j^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{j+1,j} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{j,j+1}$$

The assertion about the action (3.12) and the $\mathbb{A}_N$-relations are then verified by a straightforward computation. □

Corollary 3.10 (Leibniz rules)**.** *The row monodromy matrix (2.11) and its dual (2.34) satisfy the following cross-relations,*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j^\vee M &= (s_j M)\delta_j^\vee + (\partial_j M) \\ \delta_j M^\vee &= (s_j^\vee M^\vee)\delta_j - (\partial_j^\vee M^\vee) \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, N-1$. *Here* $s_j^\vee = s_{N+1-j}, \partial_j^\vee = -\partial_{N+1-j}$ *denote the simple Weyl reflection and divided difference operator with respect to the* $T_i = t_{N+1-i}$ *parameters.*

Replacing M with $H = \mathrm{Tr}_V M$ the relation (3.15) holds also true for $j = N$, i.e. the affine nil-Coxeter algebra $\mathbb{A}_N$. The identical equations apply to M' and E. Moreover, we have the explicit formulae,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j H(x) &= \frac{\sigma_j^+\sigma_j^- H(x)\sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_{j+1}^+}{1-xt_{j+1}} - \frac{\sigma_j^-\sigma_j^+ H(x)\sigma_{j+1}^+\sigma_{j+1}^-}{1-xt_j} \\ \partial_j E(x) &= \frac{\sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_{j+1}^+ E(x)\sigma_j^+\sigma_j^-}{1+xt_j} - \frac{\sigma_{j+1}^+\sigma_{j+1}^- E(x)\sigma_j^-\sigma_j^+}{1+xt_{j+1}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. The cross-relation (3.15) follows from (2.3) and (3.10), (3.11). Note that

$$M_i^\vee = L_{i1}(x_i;T_1)L_{i2}(x_i;T_2)\cdots L_{iN}(x_i;T_N)$$

whence we expressed the second relation in the divided difference operators for the T_i's instead of the t_i's.

To derive (3.16) first note that both operators act by multiplication on Λ and the operators $\partial_j M, \partial_j^\vee M^\vee, \partial_j H, \partial_j E$ are therefore well-defined. Consider the matrix elements of these operators in quantum space, then one finds from the explicitly given weights in Figure 4.1 by a case-by-case discussion the given formulae. Note that despite first appearances $\partial_j H, \partial_j E$ map polynomial factors to polynomial factors because the projection operators $\sigma_j^+\sigma_j^-, \sigma_j^-\sigma_j^+$ ensure that either the result is zero or the matrix elements of $\sigma_j^+\sigma_j^- H(x)\sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_{j+1}^+$ etc. contain $(1-xt_{j+1})$ etc. as a factor. □

3.3. **Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations.** Another way to express the relation between the representation of the affine braid group on $\mathcal{V}$ and the representations of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra on Λ and $V^{\otimes N}$ is the following observation.

Lemma 3.11 (quantum KZ equations)**.** *Let $\Psi = \Psi(t_1,\ldots,t_N) \in \mathcal{V}$ and consider the actions of the braid matrices $\hat{r}_j$ from 3.6, the actions of $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$, $\mathbb{A}_N$ on Λ and the action from Prop 3.7. Then the following identities are equivalent:*

$$\hat{r}_j\Psi = s_j\Psi \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \delta_j^\vee\Psi = \partial_j\Psi, \qquad j = 1,2,\ldots,N \,. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Recall the formula $\hat{r}_j := p_{j+1,j}r_{j+1,j} = 1-(t_j-t_{j+1})\delta_j^\vee$. Then

$$0 = [\hat{r}_j - s_j]\Psi = [1 - s_j - (t_j - t_{j+1})\delta_j^\vee]\Psi \,.$$

Dividing by $(t_j - t_{j+1})$ yields the asserted equivalence. □

Remark 3.12. *We shall refer to (3.17) as* quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov *(qKZ) equations and the space of Ψ's satisfying (3.17) as solution space. N.B. (3.17) is similar to the equation satisfied by certain sine-Gordon QFT correlation functions as first pointed out by Smirnov* [50]*. This is a simpler version of the now more common difference version due to Frenkel and Reshetikhin* [13] *which is often referred to as qKZ equation in the literature.*

Corollary 3.13. *The transfer matrices H, E preserve the solution space of the qKZ equation (3.17).*

Proof. Let Ψ be a solution of (3.17). Then $\hat{r}_j(H\Psi) = (s_jH)\hat{r}_j\Psi = s_j(H\Psi)$. The same computation applies to E. □

3.4. **Commutation relations of the Yang-Baxter algebras.** The nil-Coxeter algebra allows us to express the commutation relations of the column Yang-Baxter algebra (2.15) in a simplified form.

Lemma 3.14. *We have the following commutation relations of the column Yang-Baxter algebra in terms of divided difference operators*

$$\begin{cases} a_{j+1}b_j = -\partial_j b_{j+1}a_j, \\ c_{j+1}a_j = \partial_j a_{j+1}c_j, \\ d_{j+1}b_j = \partial_j b_{j+1}d_j, \\ c_{j+1}d_j = -\partial_j d_{j+1}c_j, \\ c_{j+1}b_j = \partial_j a_{j+1}d_j = -\partial_j d_{j+1}a_j \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{x}_{j+1}\mathfrak{x}_j = \mathfrak{x}_j\mathfrak{x}_{j+1}, \qquad \mathfrak{x} = a, b, c, d\,. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. By making use of (2.3) we find that

$$\hat{r}_j m_{j+1}(x|t_{j+1})m_j(x|t_j) = m_{j+1}(x|t_j)m_j(x|t_{j+1})\hat{r}_j\ .$$

Suppose $F = 1 \otimes v \in \mathcal{V}$ with $v \in V^{\otimes N}$. Exploiting (3.10) we deduce

$$\frac{1-s_j}{t_j - t_{j+1}} m_{j+1}(x|t_{j+1})m_j(x|t_j)F = \\ \delta_j^\vee m_{j+1}(x|t_{j+1})m_j(x|t_j)F - m_{j+1}(x|t_j)m_j(x|t_{j+1})\delta_j^\vee F$$

Choosing $v = v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_j} \otimes v_{\varepsilon_{j+1}} \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}$ with $\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1} = 0, 1$ we obtain the asserted commutation relations. □

In contrast the R-matrices from (2.3) for the row monodromy matrix (2.11) induce representations of the nil-Hecke algebra.

Proposition 3.15. *Set $\hat{R}_i = p_{i+1,i}R_{i+1,i}$. Then the map*

$$\pi_i \mapsto \frac{1_{i+1,i} - \hat{R}_i}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}} = \sigma_i^+\sigma_i^- - \sigma_i^+\sigma_{i+1}^-, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{3.20}$$

is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{H}_n' \to \operatorname{End} V^{\otimes n}$. Similarly, we have that

$$\pi_i \mapsto \frac{1_{i+1,i} - \hat{R}_i'}{1 - x_{i+1}/x_i} = \sigma_{i+1}^+\sigma_{i+1}^- - \sigma_i^+\sigma_{i+1}^-, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, k-1 \tag{3.21}$$

is an algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{H}_k^{\mathrm{fin}} \to \operatorname{End} V^{\otimes k}$.

Proof. A straightforward computation. □

Analogous to the column case considered above, we now express also the commutation relations of the row Yang-Baxter algebra (2.14) in terms of divided difference operators.

Instead of Λ we now consider the polynomial ring $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ where the x_i's are the so-called spectral variables. As before there is a natural action of the symmetric group S_n on $\mathcal{X}_n$ by permuting the x_i's and we denote by $\{\tilde{s}_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ the elementary transpositions which exchange x_i and x_{i+1}. Let $\tilde{\nabla}_i$ be the BGG or Demazure operators with respect to the x_i variables. We now have the following simple but important lemma.

Lemma 3.16. *Let $f \in \mathcal{X}_n \otimes V^{\otimes N}$ and suppose $\tilde{s}_i f = f$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then we have the commutation relations*

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_{i+1})B(x_i)f &= \tilde{\nabla}_i B(x_{i+1})A(x_i)f \\ D(x_{i+1})B(x_i)f &= -\tilde{\nabla}_i B(x_{i+1})A(x_i)f \\ C(x_{i+1})B(x_i)f &= \tilde{\nabla}_i D(x_{i+1})A(x_i)f\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one for the column Yang-Baxter algebra using (3.20) instead. □

Lemma 3.17. *The column monodromy matrix (2.12) obeys the cross relations*

$$\tilde{\pi}_i m_j = \left(\tilde{\nabla}_i m_j\right) + (\tilde{s}_i m_j)\tilde{\pi}_i \tag{3.23}$$

with $\tilde{\nabla}_i = -\tilde{s}_i - \tilde{\partial}_i x_{i+1}$. The analogous cross-relation holds for m'_j but with $\tilde{\nabla}'_i = \tilde{s}_i - \tilde{\partial}_i x_i$.

Proof. The cross-relation (3.23) is a consequence of (2.13),

$$\hat{R}_i L_{i+1j}(x_{i+1}|t)L_{ij}(x_i|t) = L_{i+1j}(x_i|t)L_{ij}(x_{i+1}|t)\hat{R}_i$$

and the explicit form of the matrices R, R' stated earlier in Prop 2.1; see (2.5). □

3.5. **Representations of the nil-Hecke algebra in quantum space.** We now define a multi-parameter representation of the nil-Hecke algebra in the extended quantum space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \tilde{\Lambda}[q] \otimes V^{\otimes N}$.

Proposition 3.18. *The map $\rho_t : \mathbb{H}_N \to \operatorname{End} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ given by*

$$\pi_j \mapsto \rho_t(\pi_j) := t_j^{-1}\sigma_j^-\sigma_{j+1}^+ - \sigma_j^-\sigma_j^+ \tag{3.24}$$

is an algebra homomorphism. We have the following action on basis vectors,

$$\rho_t(\pi_j)v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} = \begin{cases} t_j^{-1}v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes\cdots v_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}\otimes v_{\varepsilon_j}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \varepsilon_j > \varepsilon_{j+1} \\ -v_{\varepsilon_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}, & \varepsilon_j = 0 \\ 0, & \textit{else} \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

where all indices are understood modulo N.

Proof. A straightforward computation using that $v_i = \sigma_i^-\sigma_i^+$ and $u_i = t_i^{-1}\sigma_i^-\sigma_{i+1}^+$ obey the relations

$$\begin{gathered} v_i^2 = v_i, \qquad v_i v_j = v_j v_i \\ u_i^2 = u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} = 0 \\ v_i u_i = u_i v_{i+1} = u_i, \qquad u_i v_i = v_{i+1} u_i = 0 \\ u_i u_j = u_j u_i, \qquad v_i u_j = u_j v_i \quad \text{for} \quad |i-j| > 1 \end{gathered}$$

which can be easily checked. □

We introduce two additional representations $\rho_T^\vee, \rho_t' : \mathbb{H}_N \to \operatorname{End} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ which will occur because of Poincaré and level-rank duality:

$$\rho_T^\vee(\pi_j) := \mathcal{P}\rho_t(\pi_j)\mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_t'(\pi_j) := -\Theta\rho_{-T}(\bar{\pi}_{N-j})\Theta\ , \tag{3.26}$$

where we recall that $\bar{\pi}_j = \pi_j + 1$, $T_i = t_{N+1-i}$ and we have used the natural extension of the maps (2.31) and (2.32) to $\mathcal{V}$ with $\Theta = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{C}$. By abuse of notation we will often denote $\rho_t(\pi_j), \rho_t'(\pi_j), \rho_T^\vee(\pi_j)$ simply by $\pi_j, \pi_j', \pi_j^\vee$ in what follows if

no confusion can arise. Explicitly, we have $\pi_j^\vee = T_{j+1}^{-1}\sigma_j^+\sigma_{j+1}^- - \sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_{j+1}^+$ and $\pi_j' = t_{j+1}^{-1}\sigma_j^-\sigma_{j+1}^+ - \sigma_{j+1}^-\sigma_{j+1}^+$.

Consider the following decomposition of the quantum space (2.9)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n = \tilde{\Lambda}[q] \otimes V_n \ , \tag{3.27}$$

where V_n is spanned by the basis vectors $v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}$ with exactly n of the ε_i's equal to one, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i = n$. In each subspace $\mathcal{V}_n$ we label the basis vectors $v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N}$ in terms of partitions λ in the set

$$\Pi_{n,k} := \{\lambda : k \geq \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0\} \tag{3.28}$$

by using the same bijection between 01-words and partitions as in [30, 29]. Let $\ell_j(\lambda) = \lambda_{n+1-j} + j$ be the position of the one-letters in the 01-word $\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_N$ then we set

$$|\lambda\rangle = v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} \in V^{\otimes N} \ , \tag{3.29}$$

where $\varepsilon_j = 1$ if $j = \lambda_{n+1-j} + j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\varepsilon_j = 0$ else. Note that the Young diagram of λ fits into the bounding box of height n and width k. The following lemma is a direct consequence of these definitions.

Lemma 3.19. *The action (3.25) of the nil-Hecke algebra leaves each subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$ invariant. The same applies to ρ_t' and $\rho_T^\vee$.*

The following result is contained in [33, Lemma 32, p.1577] where in *loc. cit.* cylindric shapes are used which we will discuss in a later section. Here we simply associate with the cylindric shape $\lambda[d]$ the vector $q^d \otimes |\lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}] \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_n$.

Lemma 3.20 (Lam)**.** *Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ and denote by $|\lambda\rangle, |\mu\rangle \in V_n$ the corresponding basis vectors (3.29). For fixed $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists at most one affine permutation $w(\lambda, \mu, d) \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$ such that $q^d|\lambda\rangle = \delta_{w(\lambda,\mu,d)}|\mu\rangle$. If $\mu = \emptyset$ then for each λ there exists a unique (finite) permutation $w_\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_N \subset \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$ such that $|\lambda\rangle = \delta_{w_\lambda}|\emptyset\rangle$.*

3.6. **Transfer matrices as cyclic words in the nil-Hecke algebra.** We now expand the elements of the monodromy matrices of the vicious and osculating walker models according to $\mathcal{O}(x_i) = \sum_{r\geq 0} \mathcal{O}_r x_i^r$ with $\mathcal{O} = A, B, C, D$ and $\mathcal{O} = A', B', C', D'$. The coefficients $\mathcal{O}_r$ have simple expressions in the multi-parameter representation of the nil-Hecke algebra discussed above.

Define the following ordered sums in terms of the nil-Hecke algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_r^{N,>} &= \sum_{0<j_1<\cdots<j_r<N} (\delta_{j_r} - \hat{t}_{j_r}) \cdots (\delta_{j_1} - \hat{t}_{j_1}) \\ &= \sum_{0<j_1<\cdots<j_r<N} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} \cdots t_{j_r} \ \pi_{j_r} \cdots \pi_{j_2} \pi_{j_1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_r^{N,<} &= \sum_{0<j_1<\cdots<j_r<N} (\delta_{j_1} + \check{t}_{j_1}) \cdots (\delta_{j_r} + \check{t}_{j_r}) \\ &= \sum_{0<j_1<\cdots<j_r<N} t_{j_1} t_{j_2} \cdots t_{j_r} \ \bar{\pi}_{j_1} \bar{\pi}_{j_2} \cdots \bar{\pi}_{j_r} \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where we recall that $\delta_j = \sigma_j^- \sigma_{j+1}^+$ and we have set $\hat{t}_j = t_j \sigma_j^- \sigma_j^+$, $\check{t}_j = t_j \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-$.

Lemma 3.21. *We have the following identities*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j \hat{t}_{j+1} &= \hat{t}_j \delta_j + 1 - \check{r}_j, \qquad \delta_j \hat{t}_j = \delta_j \hat{t}_{j+1} = 0 \\ \delta_j^\vee \hat{t}_j &= \hat{t}_{j+1} \delta_j^\vee + 1 - \hat{r}_j, \qquad \delta_j^\vee \hat{t}_{j+1} = \hat{t}_j \delta_j^\vee = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j^\vee \check{t}_{j+1} &= \check{t}_j \delta_j^\vee + 1 - \check{r}_j, \qquad \delta_j^\vee \check{t}_j = \check{t}_{j+1} \delta_j^\vee = 0 \\ \delta_j \check{t}_j &= \check{t}_{j+1} \delta_j + 1 - \hat{r}_j, \qquad \delta_j \check{t}_{j+1} = \check{t}_j \delta_j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A simple computation. □

The last set of relations should be thought of as a generalisation of the cross relation or Leibniz rule (3.3) for the braid group representation.

Proposition 3.22. *We have the following explicit expressions for the monodromy matrix elements of the vicious and osculating walker models in terms of the nil Hecke algebra representation (3.24),*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_r = \Sigma_r^{N,>} - \hat{t}_N \Sigma_{r-1}^{N,>} \\ B_r = A_{r-1} \sigma_1^+ \\ C_r = \sigma_N^- A_r \\ D_r = \sigma_N^- A_{r-1} \sigma_1^+ \end{array} \right. \quad \textit{and} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A'_r = \Sigma_r^{N,<} + \Sigma_{r-1}^{N,<} \check{t}_N \\ B'_r = \sigma_1^+ A'_{r-1} \\ C'_r = A'_r \sigma_N^- \\ D'_r = \sigma_1^+ A'_{r-1} \sigma_N^- \end{array} \right. . \tag{3.32}$$

Proof. Proceed by induction in N using the coproduct $\Delta = \Delta^{\mathrm{col}}$ in (2.6) of the row Yang-Baxter algebra (2.14). The latter leads to the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(N)}(x) &= \Delta(A^{(N-1)}(x)) = A^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes (1_N - x\hat{t}_N) + C^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes x\sigma_N^+ \\ B^{(N)}(x) &= \Delta(B^{(N-1)}(x)) = B^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes (1_N - x\hat{t}_N) + D^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes x\sigma_N^+ \\ C^{(N)}(x) &= \Delta(C^{(N-1)}(x)) = A^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes \sigma_N^- + C^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes x\sigma_N^- \sigma_N^+ \\ D^{(N)}(x) &= \Delta(D^{(N-1)}(x)) = B^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes \sigma_N^- + D^{(N-1)}(x) \otimes x\sigma_N^- \sigma_N^+ \end{aligned}$$

which are a direct consequence of (2.1) and (2.11). The analogous formulae hold for the Yang-Baxter algebra of the osculating walker model. □

Example 3.23. *For $N = 1$ the row monodromy matrix is simply the L-operator and we have,*

$$A^{(1)} = 1 - \hat{t}_1 x,\ B^{(1)} = x\sigma_1^+,\ C^{(1)} = \sigma_1^-,\ D^{(1)} = x\sigma_1^- \sigma_1^+ .$$

Thus, for $N = 2$ we find from the coproduct

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(2)} &= \left(1 - \hat{t}_1 x\right)\left(1 - \hat{t}_2 x\right) + x\delta_1 \\ B^{(2)} &= x\left(1 - \hat{t}_2 x\right)\sigma_1^+ + x^2 \delta_1 \sigma_1^+ \\ C^{(2)} &= \sigma_2^-\left(1 - \hat{t}_1 x\right) + x\sigma_2^- \delta_1 \\ D^{(2)} &= x\sigma_2^- \sigma_1^+ + x^2 \sigma_2^- \delta_1 \sigma_1^+ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $N = 3$ we end up with the following formula for the A, D operators

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(3)} &= \left(1 - \hat{t}_3 x\right)\left(1 - \hat{t}_2 x\right)\left(1 - \hat{t}_1 x\right) \\ &\quad + x\delta_2\left(1 - \hat{t}_1 x\right) + x\delta_1\left(1 - \hat{t}_3 x\right) + x^2 \delta_2 \delta_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D^{(3)} = x\sigma_3^-\left(1 - \hat{t}_2 x\right)\sigma_1^+ + x^2 \sigma_3^-(\delta_1 + \delta_2)\sigma_1^+ + x^3 \sigma_3^- \delta_2 \delta_1 \sigma_1^+ .$$

This is of the stated form (3.32) when taking into account the various cancellation of terms due to the relations $\hat{t}_{j+1}\sigma^+_{j+1} = \hat{t}_{j+1}\delta_j = \delta_j\hat{t}_j = \sigma^-_j\hat{t}_j = 0$ *etc.*

We will now deduce from these results that after taking partial traces of the respective monodromy matrices leads to simple expressions of the transfer matrices in terms of the affine nil-Hecke algebra.

Given an affine permutation $w \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$, a *reduced word* of w is a minimal length decomposition of w as a product $s_{j_1}\cdots s_{j_r}$ of simple reflections. Identify w with the word $j_1\cdots j_r \in [N]^r$ given by its reduced expression and set $\pi_w = \pi_{j_1}\cdots\pi_{j_r}$, $t_w = t_{j_1}t_{j_2}\cdots t_{j_r}$.

Definition 3.24. *A word* $w = j_1\cdots j_r$ *with letters in* $[N]$ *is called (anti-)clockwise ordered if each letter occurs at most once and the letter* $(j+1) \bmod N$ *succeeds (precedes) the letter* j *in case both are present.*

In the literature clockwise ordered words are also called *cyclically increasing* and anticlockwise ordered ones *cyclically decreasing*. An affine permutation is called cyclically decreasing or increasing if its associated reduced word expression has the respective property.

Corollary 3.25. *We have the following expressions of the row-to-row transfer matrices*

$$H_r = A_r + qD_r = \sum_{|w|=r}^{\circlearrowleft} (\delta_{j_1} - \hat{t}_{j_1})\cdots(\delta_{j_r} - \hat{t}_{j_r}) = \sum_{|w|=r}^{\circlearrowleft} t_w\rho_t(\pi_w) \tag{3.33}$$

and

$$E_r = A'_r + qD'_r = \sum_{|w|=r}^{\circlearrowleft} (\delta_{j_1} + \check{t}_{j_1})\cdots(\delta_{j_r} + \check{t}_{j_r}) = \sum_{|w|=r}^{\circlearrowleft} t_w\rho_t(\bar{\pi}_w)\ , \tag{3.34}$$

were the sums run respectively over all anti-clockwise (cyclically decreasing) and clockwise (cyclically increasing) ordered words $w = j_1\ldots j_r$ *of length* r *with* $1 \le j_1,\ldots,j_r \le N$.

Remark 3.26. *Note that while the representation* ρ_t *is defined only over the Laurent polynomials* $\tilde{\Lambda}[q]$ *the transfer matrices are already defined over the original quantum space (2.9), i.e. the transfer matrices are polynomial in the equivariant parameters. In fact, if we define* $\upsilon_j := t_j\pi_j = \delta_j + \hat{t}_j$ *for* $j = 1,2,\ldots,N$ *the operators* $\upsilon_j : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$ *obey the deformed nil-Hecke algebra relations*

$$\begin{cases} \upsilon_j^2 = -t_j\upsilon_j \\ \upsilon_j\upsilon_{j+1}\upsilon_j = t^{\alpha_j}\upsilon_{j+1}\upsilon_j\upsilon_{j+1} \end{cases},$$

where $\alpha_j = e_j - e_{j+1}$ *is the* j*th simple root of type* A_N. *Setting* $t_1 = \cdots = t_N = 0$ *we recover the nil-Coxeter algebra relations, while setting* $t_1 = \cdots = t_N = 1$ *we obtain the nil-Hecke algebra relations. Similar algebras have been considered before in the context of the Fomin-Stanley algebra* [26].

Corollary 3.27. *Under the involution* $\Theta = \mathcal{PC} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ *we have the transformations*

$$\Theta E_r(t)\Theta = H_r(-T) = \sum_w \varpi^{-1}(T_w)\ \rho'_{-T}(\pi_w), \tag{3.35}$$

$$\Theta H_r(t)\Theta = E_r(-T) = \sum_w \varpi^{-1}(T_w)\ \rho'_{-T}(\bar{\pi}'_w)\ , \tag{3.36}$$

which result in the alternative expressions

$$H_r = \sum_{w,\ \circlearrowleft} \varpi^{-1}(t_w)\rho'_t(\pi_w) \qquad \text{and} \qquad E_r = \sum_{w,\ \circlearrowleft} \varpi^{-1}(t_w)\rho'_t(\bar{\pi}_w)\ . \tag{3.37}$$

Proof. First we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta\rho_t(\pi_j)\Theta &= t_j^{-1}\sigma^+_{N+1-j}\sigma^-_{N-j} - \sigma^+_{N+1-j}\sigma^-_{N+1-j} = -\rho'_{-T}(\bar{\pi}_{N-1}) \\ \Theta\rho_t(\bar{\pi}_j)\Theta &= t_j^{-1}\sigma^+_{N+1-j}\sigma^-_{N-j} + \sigma^-_{N+1-j}\sigma^+_{N+1-j} = -\rho'_{-T}(\pi_{N-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Replacing $t \to -T$ we obtain the desired formulae. □

3.7. **Affine stable Grothendieck polynomials.** We first recall the definition of these polynomials which were introduced in [33]. Let $(\cdot,\cdot) : \mathbb{H}_N \times \mathbb{H}_N \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the bilinear form defined on the standard basis $\{\pi_w\}$ by setting $(\pi_w, \pi_{w'}) = \delta_{w,w'}$ for any $w, w' \in \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$. Set $\boldsymbol{h}_r = \sum_{\omega}^{\circlearrowleft} \pi_\omega$ where the sum is over all cyclically anticlockwise ordered (decreasing) words $\omega = i_1 \cdots i_r$ of length r. Then the *affine stable Grothendieck polynomial* is defined as

$$\tilde{G}_w(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{\alpha} (\boldsymbol{h}_\alpha, \pi_w) x^\alpha, \tag{3.39}$$

where the sum is over all compositions $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ of $\ell(w)$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_\alpha = \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha_n} \cdots \boldsymbol{h}_{\alpha_1}$. Because of the definition of the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ the above sum over compositions α effectively restricts to a sum over all decompositions of w into cyclically decreasing subwords [33]. The following corollary states that we if replace the $\boldsymbol{h}_r$'s with our H_r's given in (3.33) then we can identify for $t_1 = \cdots = t_N = 1$ the partition function of our integrable model with an affine stable Grothendieck polynomial in the representation (3.24).

Corollary 3.28. *For $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ fixed let $w = w(\lambda, \mu, d)$ be the unique affine permutation from Lemma 3.20 such that $\delta_w|\mu\rangle = q^d|\lambda\rangle$. Then*

$$\langle\lambda|Z_n(x|t)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle\lambda|H_\alpha|\mu\rangle x^\alpha = \sum_{a} t_w \langle\lambda|\rho_t(\pi_w)|\mu\rangle x^a, \tag{3.40}$$

where the second sum runs over all decompositions $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ of w into cyclically anti-clockwise ordered words.

Remark 3.29. *The non-affine versions,* stable *Grothendieck polynomials, have been considered by Buch in the context of the K-theory of Grassmannians* [8]*. The affine polynomials (for w being an affine Grassmannian permutation) have been identified with the Schubert basis in the K-cohomology of the affine Grassmannian* [36] *and for 321-avoiding w's they have been conjectured* [33] *to be related to the quantum K-theory of Grassmannians* [7]*.*

4. Inhomogeneous Vicious and Osculating Walkers

Consider an $n \times N$ square lattice (n rows and N columns) where we assign statistical variables $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ to the internal lattice edges $\mathbb{E}$. be We call a map $\mathbb{E} \to \{0, 1\}$ a lattice configuration $\mathcal{C}$. Fix two partitions λ, μ whose Young diagrams fit into the bounding box of height n and width k. Let $\varepsilon(\lambda)$ and $\varepsilon(\mu)$ be the corresponding 01-words of length N with n 1-letters at positions $\ell_i(\lambda) = \lambda_{n+1-i} + i$ and $\ell_i(\mu) = \mu_{n+1-i} + i$. We shall only consider configurations $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\lambda, \mu)$ where the values on the outer edges on the top and bottom are fixed by the 01-words $\varepsilon(\mu)$



FIGURE 4.1. The weights of the five allowed vertex configurations for the vicious (top) and osculating walker (bottom) model. Here x_i and t_j are commuting indeterminates with i being the row index and j the column index of the square lattice.



FIGURE 4.2. Examples of a vicious (left) and osculating (right) walker configuration.

and $\varepsilon(\lambda)$, while imposing periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal direction; this setup is the same as in [29].

For each such lattice configuration $\mathcal{C}$ define a weight in $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]\otimes\Lambda[q]$ through the product over the vertex weights depicted at the top in Figure 4.1,

$$\mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{C}) := q^{d(\mathcal{C})} \prod_{\text{vertices } v\in\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{wt}(v)\ . \tag{4.1}$$

If a given configuration $\mathcal{C}$ contains vertices which are not shown in Figure 4.1 then we assign those vertices weight zero, meaning that $\mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ and we call such configurations *forbidden*. Finally, $d(\mathcal{C})$ in (4.1) denotes the number of paths transgressing the boundary where the lattice is glued together to give the cylinder. Namely, each configuration can be identified with n non-intersecting paths on the cylinder with start positions $\ell_i(\mu)$ and end positions $\ell_i(\lambda)$ by connecting lattice edges which have value 1; see Figure 4.2. Following Fisher [11] we call this statistical

model the (inhomogeneous) vicious walker model, although our choice of weights constitutes a non-trivial extension of his model.

Similarly, we define an inhomogeneous extension of Brak's osculating walker model [10] on a $k \times N$ square lattice (k rows and N columns) using the weights depicted at the bottom in Figure 4.1. Again our choice of weights is special and differs from the one in *loc. cit.*

Lemma 4.1. *The partition functions of the inhomogeneous vicious and osculating walker models*

$$Z_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} q^{d(\mathcal{C})} \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{wt}(v) \tag{4.2}$$

is given in terms of the matrix elements of the operator (2.21). In particular, the partition functions of a single lattice row for the vicious walker model are given by the matrix elements of the operator,

$$H(x|t) = A(x) + qD(x) = \sum_{r\geq 0} x^r H_r, \tag{4.3}$$

and for the osculating model by the matrix elements of the operator,

$$E(u|t) = A'(x) + qD'(x) = \sum_{r\geq 0} x^r E_r \ . \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Set $Z(x|t)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}} Z_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t)|\lambda\rangle$. Then the partition function with fixed start and end positions is given by

$$Z_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} q^{d(\mathcal{C})} \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} (L_{ij})^{c(v)d(v)}_{a(v)b(v)} = \sum_{\mathcal{C}} q^{d(\mathcal{C})} \prod_{v\in\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{wt}(v) \ ,$$

where $a, b, c, d = 0, 1$ are the values of the W, N, E, and S edge of the vertex v for the configuration $\mathcal{C}$. □

4.1. **Combinatorial formulae.** Given a partition λ inside the $n \times k$ bounding box we recall the definition of its cylindric loop $\lambda[r]$; see [15], [47].

Definition 4.2 (cylindric loops)**.** *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (n, k)$ and define the following associated* cylindric loops *$\lambda[r]$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\lambda[r] := (\ldots, \underset{r}{\lambda_n + r + k}, \underset{r+1}{\lambda_1 + r}, \ldots, \underset{r+n}{\lambda_n + r}, \underset{r+n+1}{\lambda_1 + r - k}, \ldots) \ .$$

For $r = 0$ the cylindric loop can be visualized as a path in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ determined by the outline of the Young diagram of λ which is periodically continued with respect to the vector $(n, -k)$. For $r \neq 0$ this line is shifted r times in the direction of the lattice vector $(1, 1)$.

Definition 4.3 (cylindric & toric skew diagrams)**.** *Given two partitions $\lambda, \mu \in (n, k)$ denote by $\lambda/d/\mu$ the set of squares between the two lines $\lambda[d]$ and $\mu[0]$ modulo integer shifts by $(n, -k)$,*

$$\lambda/d/\mu := \{\langle i, j\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/(n, -k)\mathbb{Z} \mid \lambda[d]_i \geq j > \mu[0]_i\} \ .$$

We shall refer to $\lambda/d/\mu$ as a cylindric skew-diagram *of degree d. If the number of boxes in each row does not exceed k then $\lambda/d/\mu$ is called* toric.



FIGURE 4.3. A row configuration corresponding to the A (top) and D-operator (bottom) of the vicious walker model. Displayed below are the corresponding skew Young diagrams, left and right, respectively.

A cylindric skew diagram $\nu/d/\mu$ which has at most one box in each column will be called a (cylindric/toric) *horizontal strip* and one which has at most one box in each row a (cylindric/toric) *vertical strip*. The *length* of such strips will be the number of boxes within the skew diagram.

Proposition 4.4 (vicious walkers)**.** *Let $\mu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ and $\tilde{H}(x) = x^k H(x^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$. We have the following combinatorial action of the vicious walker transfer matrix,*

$$\tilde{H}(x)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{d=0,1} q^d \sum_{\lambda/d/\mu \ hor\ strip} \prod_{j\in J_{\lambda/d/\mu}} (x - t_j)|\lambda\rangle \ , \tag{4.5}$$

where the second sum runs over all partitions λ (inside the same bounding box as μ) such that $\lambda/d/\mu$ is a cylindric horizontal strip and the set $J_{\lambda/d/\mu}$ consists of the diagonals $j - i + n$ of the bottom squares $s = (i, j)$ in each column which does not intersect $\lambda/d/\mu$. If the column does not contain any boxes add $j + n$ to $J_{\lambda/d/\mu}$.

Example 4.5. *We explain (4.5) on an example; the reader should refer to Figure 4.3 and recall that $H = A + qD$. Set $N = 12$ and $n = 6$. Consider the row configuration shown on top in Figure 4.3. Draw the Young diagram of $\mu = (7, 6, 4, 3, 0)$ which corresponds to the 01-word fixing the values of the vertical lattice edges on top. Starting with the leftmost path add a box for each horizontal path edge in the bottom row of the Young diagram. Then do the same for the next path in the row above, etc. If there are no horizontal path edges do not add any boxes. The number in the boxes of the resulting skew diagram give the diagonals + n for each bottom square in those columns which do not intersect the horizontal strip.*

To obtain the horizontal strip for the D-operator (bottom row configuration in Figure 4.3) one has to add a boundary ribbon of N boxes to $\lambda = (6, 4, 2, 1)$ first whose boxes are indicated by a red dotted line. Note that $n = 4$ in this case.



FIGURE 4.4. Examples of row configurations for the osculating walker model. The (transposed) Young diagrams on the bottom (top → left and bottom → right) show the corresponding horizontal strips.

We now state the analogous combinatorial action for the transfer matrix of the osculating walker model.

Proposition 4.6 (osculating walkers)**.** *Let $\mu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ and $\tilde{E}(x) = x^n E(x^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$. We have the following combinatorial action of the osculating walker transfer matrix,*

$$\tilde{E}(x)|\mu\rangle = \sum_{d=0,1} q^d \sum_{\lambda'/d/\mu' \ hor\ strip} \prod_{j \in J'_{\lambda'/d/\mu'}} (x + T_j)|\lambda\rangle \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where λ', μ' denote the conjugate partitions of λ, μ, $T_j = t_{N+1-j}$ and $J'_{\lambda'/d/\mu'}$ is defined analogously to $J_{\lambda'/d/\mu'}$ but with n replaced by k.

Example 4.7. *We now explain (4.6) on a concrete example. Set again $N = 12$ and refer to Figure 4.4 for two sample row configurations with $n = 7$ (top) and $n = 6$ (bottom). One uses the same bijection as in the vicious walker case, i.e. adding boxes in the Young diagrams for each horizontal path edge, but now for the transposed Young diagrams. The numbering is now from right to left to facilitate the reading of the equivariant parameters T_j occurring in each row configuration: for each straight path one collects a factor $(1+uT_j)$; compare with the vertex weights in Figure 4.1.*

Definition 4.8. *A cylindric/toric tableau of shape $\lambda/d/\mu$ is a map $\mathcal{T} : \lambda[d]/\mu[0] \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} \leq \mathcal{T}_{i,j+1}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i,j} < \mathcal{T}_{i+1,j}$ where (i, j) are the coordinates of the squares between the two cylindric loops $\lambda[d]$ and $\mu[0]$ in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-plane.*

Lemma 4.9. *Each toric tableau $\mathcal{T} : \lambda[d]/\mu[0] \to \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ determines uniquely a sequence of cylindric loops*

$$\Lambda[d_1, \ldots, d_\ell = d] = (\lambda^{(0)}[0] = \mu[0], \lambda^{(1)}[d_1], \ldots, \lambda^{(\ell)}[d_\ell]) \tag{4.7}$$



FIGURE 4.5. The top of the figure shows a vicious walker lattice configuration for $N = 7$ and $n = 3$. Black bullets mark the vertex configurations which contribute factors $(x_i - t_j)$ where i is the row index and j the column index. Below is the corresponding toric tableau from which the same factors can be obtained as detailed in formula (4.8).

where $d_i = d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{i-1}$ *and* $\lambda^{(i+1)}/(d_{i+1} - d_i)/\lambda^{(i)}$ *is a horizontal strip. Conversely, each such sequence of cylindric loops defines uniquely a toric tableau.*

Our two previous combinatorial formulae for the row-to-row transfer matrices allow us to state the following explicit combinatorial expression for the partition functions of the vicious and osculating walker models in terms of toric tableaux.

Given two partitions λ, μ fix the boundary conditions on the top and bottom of the square lattice in terms of their corresponding 01-words. Denote by $Z_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t)$ the corresponding partition function, i.e. the weighted sum over lattice path configurations which start at position $\ell_i(\mu)$ and end at position $\ell_i(\lambda)$ and let $\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(x_1, \ldots, x_n|t) := (x_1 \cdots x_n)^n Z_{\lambda,\mu}(x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1}|t)$.

Corollary 4.10 (partition functions)**.** *We have the following explicit expression for the partition functions of the vicious walker model,*

$$\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{d=0}^{n} q^d \sum_{|\mathcal{T}|=\lambda/d/\mu} \prod_{1\le i,j\le n} \prod_{\ell=\lambda_{i+1}^{(j)}[d_j]+\rho_{i+1}+1}^{\lambda_i^{(j-1)}[d_{j-1}]+\rho_i-1} (x_j - t_\ell), \tag{4.8}$$

where the sum runs over all toric tableaux $\mathcal{T} = (\lambda^{(0)}[0], \lambda^{(1)}[d_1], \ldots, \lambda^{(n)}[d_n])$ *and* $\rho_i = n + 1 - i$. *Here the second product is understood to give one if* $\lambda_i^{(j-1)}[d_{j-1}] + \rho_i - 1 < \lambda_{i+1}^{(j)}[d_j] + \rho_{i+1} + 1$. *An analogous formulae holds for the osculating walker model exploiting level-rank duality (2.33),* $\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \tilde{Z}_{\lambda',\mu'}(x| - T)$ *where* λ', μ' *are the conjugate partitions of* λ, μ.

Proof. A direct consequence of the previous results (4.5), (4.6) for the row-to-row transfer matrices and the preceding lemma which allows one to decompose each toric tableau into a sequence of toric horizontal strips. The interval $[\lambda_i^{(j-1)}[d_i] + \rho_i - 1, \lambda_{i+1}^{(j)}[d_{i+1}] + \rho_{i+1} + 1]$ gives precisely the content of those squares in the tableau $\mathcal{T}$ which are at the bottom of a column which does not intersect the jth horizontal strip. □

Example 4.11. *We explain (4.8) on a simple example. Let* $n = 3$, $k = 4$ *and take the 01-words* $\varepsilon(\mu) = 1000101$, $\varepsilon(\lambda) = 0011001$ *as start and end configurations for vicious walkers with* $\mu = (4, 3, 0)$ *and* $\lambda = (4, 2, 2)$. *Consider the lattice configuration and the corresponding toric tableau shown in Figure 4.5. As we can see from the picture there is a horizontal path edge in the second row only, so we have* $d_0 = d_1 = 0$ *and* $d_2 = d_3 = 1$. *The sequence of cylindric loops* $\lambda^{(0)}[d_0] = \mu[0], \lambda^{(1)}[d_1], \lambda^{(2)}[d_2], \lambda^{(3)}[d_3] = \lambda[1]$, *corresponding to the toric tableau and the associated integers* ℓ *appearing in the second product of (4.8) are detailed in the table below,*

j	0	1	2	3
d_j	0	0	1	1
$\lambda^{(j)}[d_j]$	$\ldots, 4, 3, 0, \ldots$	$\ldots, 4, 4, 2, \ldots$	$\ldots, 5, 4, 3, \ldots$	$\ldots, 7, 6, 3, \ldots$
ℓ	-	4	5, 2	5

For instance, we find for $i = 1, 2, 3$ *and* $j = 2$ *that* $\lambda^{(2)}[d_2] + \rho + 1 = (\ldots, 5 + 4, 4 + 3, 3 + 2, 1 + 1, \ldots)$ *and* $\lambda^{(1)}[d_1] + \rho - 1 = (\ldots, 4 + 2, 4 + 1, 2 + 0, \ldots)$. *According to formula (4.8) the second product therefore runs over* $\ell = 5, 2$ *for* $i = 2, 3$. *There is no contribution for* $i = 1$, *since* $\lambda_2^{(2)}[d_2] + \rho_2 + 1 = 7$ *and* $\lambda_1^{(1)}[d_1] + \rho_1 - 1 = 6$. *Similarly, one computes the other contributions and values of* ℓ. *The resulting factor is*

$$(x_1 - t_4)(x_2 - t_5)(x_2 - t_2)(x_3 - t_5)$$

which coincides with the weight assigned to the vicious walker lattice configuration according to (4.2) using the weights in Fig 4.1.

4.2. **Factorial powers and quantum Pieri rules.** In this section we relate the vicious and osculating walker models to the equivariant quantum cohomology ring. The row partition functions or transfer matrices play the central role and should be thought of as noncommutative analogues of the generating functions for the complete symmetric and elementary symmetric functions.

Prompted by the previous combinatorial formulae (4.5), (4.6) for the row-to-row transfer matrices we now expand them into so-called *factorial powers* with respect to the equivariant parameters. On each subspace $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{V}$ define a family of operators $\{\tilde{H}_{r,n}\}_{r=0}^{k}$ via the following expansion of the transfer matrix in terms of

the factorial powers $(x|T)^p := (x-T_1)(x-T_2)\cdots(x-T_p)$,

$$x^k H(x^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{k} x^{k-r} H_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{k} (x|T)^{k-r} \tilde{H}_{r,n} \ . \tag{4.9}$$

Note that it follows from the definition of $H(x)$ that $H(x)|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$ is at most of degree k in u, since each allowed row configuration in $\mathcal{V}_n$ contains at most k vertices with weights $(1-t_j u)$ or u; see Figure 4.1. Hence the above expansion is well-defined.

Lemma 4.12. *We have the following identities*

$$H_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j e_j(T_1,\dots,T_{k-r+j}) \tilde{H}_{r-j,n} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\tilde{H}_{r,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} \det(e_{1-a+b}(T_1,\dots,T_{k-r+b}))_{1\le a,b\le j} \ H_{r-j}|_{\mathcal{V}_n} \tag{4.11}$$

where we set $\tilde{H}_{0,n} = 1|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$ and e_r are the elementary symmetric polynomials. Acting with $\tilde{H}_{r,n}$ on the empty partition $\emptyset$ in $\mathcal{V}_n$, i.e. the vector

$$|\emptyset\rangle = \underbrace{v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1}_{n} \otimes \underbrace{v_0\otimes\cdots\otimes v_0}_{k} \in V^{\otimes N} \ , \tag{4.12}$$

we find that

$$\tilde{H}_{r,n}|\emptyset\rangle = |(r,0,\dots,0)\rangle \ . \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. A straightforward computation. Using that

$$(u|T)^{k-r} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-r} (-1)^j e_j(T_1,\dots,T_{k-r}) u^{k-r-j}$$

we infer that the coefficient of u^{k-r} in $u^k H(u^{-1})$ gives the first identity. This is a linear system of equations with a lower triangular matrix and its solutions give the second identity. Employing

$$\tilde{H}_{r,n} = H_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^j e_j(T_1,\dots,T_{k-r+j}) \tilde{H}_{r-j,n} \tag{4.14}$$

$$= H_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} - \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^j \sum_{n+r+1-j\le i_1<\cdots<i_j\le N} t_{i_1}\cdots t_{i_j} \tilde{H}_{r-j,n} \tag{4.15}$$

one then easily deduces the action on the empty partition. □

Explicitly, we have for the first few elements,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{1,n} &= H_1|_{\mathcal{V}_n} + T_1 + \cdots + T_k \\ \tilde{H}_{2,n} &= H_2|_{\mathcal{V}_n} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} T_j \ H_1|_{\mathcal{V}_n} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_i \sum_{j=1}^{k} T_j - \sum_{1\le i<j\le k} T_i T_j \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

The result (4.13) is a needed compatibility condition as we wish to realise the expansion of the product $s_\lambda * s_\mu$ of two Schubert classes in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ by acting

with an appropriate operator $\tilde{S}_{\lambda,n}$ on the vector $|\mu\rangle$. Starting with $\lambda = (r)$ and setting $\tilde{S}_{\lambda,n} = \tilde{H}_{r,n}$ we need to ensure that $\tilde{S}_{\lambda,n}|\emptyset\rangle = |\lambda\rangle$ as $s_\emptyset$ is the unit in $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$.

Corollary 4.13 (equivariant quantum Pieri-Chevalley rule)**.** *The action of $\tilde{H}_{1,n}$ on a basis vector $|\lambda\rangle = v_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\varepsilon_N} \in \mathcal{V}_n$, where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_N$ with $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$, yields*

$$\tilde{H}_{1,n}|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mu-\lambda=(1)} |\mu\rangle + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{k+i-\lambda_i} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{N} T_j \right) |\lambda\rangle + q|\lambda^-\rangle \ , \tag{4.16}$$

where λ^- is the partition obtained from λ by removing a boundary rim hook of length $N-1$. If such a rim hook cannot be removed then this term is missing from the formula.

Remark 4.14. *Using a result from Mihalcea* [43, Cor 7.1] *the last formula together with the introduction of an appropriate grading suffices to show that the commutative ring generated by the endomorphisms $\{\tilde{H}_{r,n}\}_{r=1}^{k}$ is canonically isomorphic to $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. However, here we shall not make use of this fact, but instead will identify both rings in the last section via their idempotents and, thus, give an alternative derivation of Mihalcea's result.*

Proof. This is immediate as

$$H_1|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mu-\lambda=(1)} |\mu\rangle + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{n+1-i+\lambda_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j \right) |\lambda\rangle + q|\lambda^-\rangle \ ,$$

and $\tilde{H}_{1,n} = H_1|\mathcal{V}_n + t_{n+1} + \cdots + t_N$. □

Example 4.15. *We explain the action of the operators (4.11) on a simple example, setting $N = 4$ and $n = 2$. Let us for simplicity momentarily drop the n-dependence in the notation of $\tilde{H}$. Then*

$$\tilde{H}_2 = H_2 + t_4 H_1 + t_4^2 + t_3 t_4 - t_3 t_4 = H_2 + T_1 H_1 + T_1^2$$

Figure 4.6 shows the various lattice row configurations of the vicious walker model when acting with H_2 and H_1 on the basis vector $|2,1\rangle$. Collecting terms we find that

$$\tilde{H}_2|2,1\rangle = (T_1 - T_4)|2,2\rangle + (T_1 - T_2)(T_1 - T_4)|2,1\rangle + q|1,0\rangle + q(T_1 - T_2)|0,0\rangle \ .$$

In a similar manner one can compute the action of $\tilde{H}_2$ on any other basis vector in $\{|0,0\rangle, |1,0\rangle, |2,0\rangle, |1,1\rangle, |2,1\rangle, |2,2\rangle\}$. Identifying each basis vector $|\lambda\rangle$ with a Schubert class σ_λ, the coefficients in the above expansion formula for $\tilde{H}_2|2,1\rangle$ match the coefficients in the product expansion of $\sigma_{(2)} * \sigma_{(2,1)}$ in the quantum cohomology ring $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$; see the multiplication table in [42, 8.2]. *We state the precise ring isomorphism in the last Section.*

As in the case of the vicious walker model we also introduce for osculating walkers a different set of operators in terms of the transfer matrix by expanding the latter in factorial powers,

$$x^n E(x^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} x^{n-r} E_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (x| - t)^{n-r} \tilde{E}_{r,n} \ . \tag{4.17}$$



FIGURE 4.6. The action of the transfer matrix coefficients H_2, H_1 on the partition $(2,1)$ in terms of lattice row configurations for $N = 4$ and $n = 2$.

Again one checks by consulting the allowed vertex configurations in Figure 4.1 that $E(x)|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$ is at most of degree n, whence the above expansion is well-defined.

Lemma 4.16. *We now have the identities,*

$$E_r|_{\mathcal{V}_n} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} e_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n-r+j}) \tilde{E}_{r-j,n} \tag{4.18}$$

$$\tilde{E}_{r,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (-1)^j \det(e_{1-a+b}(t_1, \dots, t_{n-r+b}))_{1\le a,b\le j} \; E_{r-j}|_{\mathcal{V}_n} \; . \tag{4.19}$$

And we find when acting on the empty partition that

$$\tilde{E}_{r,n}|\emptyset\rangle = |1^r\rangle \; . \tag{4.20}$$

Proof. A direct consequence of level-rank duality (2.33) and our previous result for the H_r's. □

5. The Bethe ansatz

We now relate the eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrices to the equivariant quantum cohomology ring. Starting point is a particular guess or ansatz for the algebraic form of the eigenvectors which we relate to factorial Schur functions. The eigenvectors will be shown to be identical with the idempotents of the quantum cohomology ring; see [29] for the non-equivariant case.

5.1. **Factorial Schur functions.** To keep this article self-contained we collect several known facts about factorial Schur functions which we will use repeatedly in what follows. Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and some commuting indeterminates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ recall the definition of the factorial Schur function (see e.g. [38, Chap I.3, Ex. 20] and references therein),

$$s_\lambda(x|a) := \frac{\det[(x_j|a)^{\lambda_i+n-i}]_{1\le i,j\le n}}{\det[(x_j|a)^{n-i}]_{1\le i,j\le n}} \; , \qquad (x_j|a)^r := \prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_j - a_i), \tag{5.1}$$

where $a = (a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an infinite sequence which we choose to be $a_i = t_i = T_{N+1-i}$ for $1 \le i \le N$ and $a_i = 0$ else. For convenience we abuse notation and write $s_\lambda(x|t)$

for $s_\lambda(x|a)$ under this specialisation. Define $e_r(x|a) = s_{(1^r)}(x|a)$ and $h_r(x|a) = s_{(r)}(x|a)$ to be the factorial elementary and complete factorial Schur functions. Note that for $t_1 = \cdots = t_N = 0$ one recovers the ordinary Schur functions $s_\lambda(x) = s_\lambda(x|0)$. One can express (5.1) in terms of the latter according to the expansion [38, Eqn (6.18)]

(5.2)
$$s_\lambda(x|a) = s_\lambda(x) + \sum_{\mu \subsetneq \lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} \det(e_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j}(a_1, \ldots, a_{n+\lambda_i - i}))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \; s_\mu(x) \; .$$

We also adopt the notation from *loc. cit.* for the shift operator τ acting on factorial Schur functions via $\tau s_\lambda(x|a) := s_\lambda(x|\tau a)$ with $(\tau a)_i = a_{i+1}$. Using the latter one has the following generalisation of the Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka determinant formulae,

$$s_\lambda(x|a) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x|\tau^{1-j}a))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \det(e_{\lambda'_i - i + j}(x|\tau^{j-1}a))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \tag{5.3}$$

We also need the tableau-definition of the factorial Schur function. Fix λ, then a semi-standard tableau $\mathcal{T} : Y(\lambda) \to \mathbb{N}$ is a filling of the Young diagram Y of λ with positive integers such that the numbers are weakly increasing in each row and strictly increasing in each column. Then one has the following definition as a sum over semi-standard tableaux,

$$s_\lambda(x|a) = \sum_{\mathcal{T}} \prod_{(i,j) \in Y(\lambda)} (x_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)} - a_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)+j-i}) \; . \tag{5.4}$$

Finally there exists the following generalisation of Cauchy's identity (see e.g. [38, Eqn (6.17)]),

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} (x_i + y_j) = \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x|t) s_{(\lambda^\vee)'}(y|-t) \; . \tag{5.5}$$

Below we will generalise all these formulae to a noncommutative setting by replacing the elementary and complete factorial Schur functions with the operator coefficients in the expansions (4.17), (4.9).

5.2. **Bethe vectors.** For $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ some indeterminates introduce the so-called off-shell Bethe vector in $\mathcal{V}_n$

$$|y_1, \ldots, y_n\rangle = (y_1 \cdots y_n)^N \hat{B}(y_n^{-1}|t) \cdots \hat{B}(y_1^{-1}|t) v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0, \tag{5.6}$$

where $\hat{B}(y_i|t) = B(y_i|t) y_i^{\hat{n}}$ with $\hat{n} = \sum_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-$. Similarly, we define for a k-tuple $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_k)$ its counterpart under level-rank duality in $\mathcal{V}_n$ as

$$|z_1, \ldots, z_k\rangle = \hat{C}'(z_k^{-1}|t) \cdots \hat{C}'(z_1^{-1}|t) v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\tilde{C}'(z_i|t) = C'(z_i|t) z_i^{-\hat{n}}$. The reason for introducing the operators $\hat{B}$ and $\hat{C}'$ is that the latter commute $\hat{B}(y_i)\hat{B}(y_j) = \hat{B}(y_j)\hat{B}(y_i)$, $\hat{C}'(z_i)\hat{C}'(z_j) = \hat{C}'(z_j)\hat{C}'(z_i)$ while the B, C'-operators do not. Hence, we can conclude that the vectors (5.6) and (5.7) are symmetric in the y's and z's.

We now identify the coefficients of the Bethe vectors with factorial Schur functions. This identification is a special case of the discussion in [9]; here we state explicit bijections between our vicious and osculating walker configurations and semi-standard tableaux which are not contained in *loc. cit.* Moreover, the formulation in terms of the Yang-Baxter algebra operators is new.



1	1	2
2	4	
5	5	

FIGURE 5.1. Two sample lattice configurations for the B (top) and C-operator (bottom) of the vicious and osculating model, respectively. Shown on the right is the tableau obtained under the bijection explained in the text.

Proposition 5.1 ([9]). *Let $\lambda^\vee = (k-\lambda_n, \dots, k-\lambda_1)$. Then we have the identities*

$$|y_1, \dots, y_n\rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in (n,k)} s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_1, \dots, y_n | T) |\lambda\rangle, \tag{5.8}$$

$$|z_1, \dots, z_k\rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in (n,k)} s_{(\lambda^\vee)'}(z_1, \dots, z_k | -t) |\lambda\rangle, \tag{5.9}$$

where we have set $T_i = t_{N+1-i}$ as before.

Proof. We only sketch the proof; compare with [9]. Consider the matrix elements

$$(y_1 \cdots y_n)^N \langle \lambda | B(y_n^{-1}) \cdots B(y_1^{-1}) | 0 \rangle$$
$$(z_1 \cdots z_k)^N \langle \lambda | C'(z_k^{-1}) \cdots C'(z_1^{-1}) | N \rangle$$

with $|0\rangle := v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0$ and $|N\rangle := v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1$. Each can be identified with a weighted sum over path configurations with certain fixed boundary conditions; see Figure 5.1 for examples with $N = 9$, $n = 5$ (top) and $N = 9$, $k = 5$ (bottom). Each vertex with a bullet contributes a factor $(x_i - T_{N+1-j})$ for the vicious walker model and a factor $(x_i + t_j)$ for the osculating model. Here i, j are the lattice row and column numbers where the vertex occurs. Each vertex with a square contributes a factor x_i. To obtain the tableau on the right we make use of the following bijections

which hold true in general, but it is instructive to verify them on the example shown in Figure 5.1.

- *Vicious walkers.* Starting from the top, place for each vertex labelled with a bullet in lattice row i a box labelled with i in the jth row of the Young tableau where j is the total number of paths crossing the row to the left of the vertex. The resulting tableau has shape $\lambda^\vee$.
- *Osculating walkers.* Consider the leftmost path and write in the first column (counting from left to right) of the Young diagram of $(\lambda^\vee)'$ the lattice row numbers where a vertex with a bullet occurs. Then do the same for the next path writing the lattice row numbers now in the second column etc. If there are no vertices with a bullet leave the column empty.

Set $\rho = (n-1,\ldots,1,0)$, $\rho' = (k-1,\ldots,1,0)$ and denote by w_0, w_0' are the longest elements in the symmetric groups $\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbb{S}_k$. Then using the above bijections we arrive at the identities

$$\langle\lambda|B(y_n^{-1})\cdots B(y_1^{-1})|0\rangle = \frac{w_0(y)^\rho}{(y_1\cdots y_n)^N}\sum_{\mathcal{T}}\prod_{\langle i,j\rangle\in\lambda^\vee}(x_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)} - T_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)+j-i}),$$

$$\langle\lambda|C'(z_k^{-1})\cdots C'(z_1^{-1})|N\rangle = \frac{w_0'(z)^{\rho'}}{(z_1\cdots z_k)^N}\sum_{\mathcal{T}}\prod_{\langle i,j\rangle\in(\lambda^\vee)'}(x_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)} + t_{\mathcal{T}(i,j)+j-i}),$$

where the sum in the first identity runs over all semistandard tableau $\mathcal{T}$ of shape $\lambda^\vee$ and in the second over all semistandard tableau $\mathcal{T}$ of shape $(\lambda^\vee)'$. The assertion now follows from the identities

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}(y_n^{-1})\cdots\hat{B}(y_1^{-1}) &= w_0(y)^{-\rho}B(y_n^{-1})\cdots B(y_1^{-1})(y_1\cdots y_n)^{-\hat{n}}\\ \hat{C}'(z_k^{-1})\cdots\hat{C}'(z_1^{-1}) &= w_0'(z)^{-\rho'}C'(z_k^{-1})\cdots C'(z_1^{-1})(z_1\cdots z_n)^{\hat{n}}\ .\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.2. *Note that the bijection between lattice configurations for the B, C'-operators and tableaux used in* [9] *is different from the one used in the case of the transfer matrices (4.5), (4.6); compare also with* [29]. *These different bijections arise because in the non-equivariant case, $t_j = 0$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,N$, one can employ the (generally valid) Schur function identity*

$$s_{\lambda^\vee}(x_1^{-1},\ldots,x_n^{-1}) = \frac{s_\lambda(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}{s_{(k^n)}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}$$

which is linked with the so-called curious duality in the non-equivariant quantum cohomology ring [47]. *This relation is no longer valid for factorial Schur functions and, hence, does not apply to the equivariant case.*

Corollary 5.3. *We have the following identity for the factorial Schur functions under a permutation of the equivariant parameters,*

$$s_\lambda(x|T) = s_\lambda(x|\ldots,T_{N+1-j},T_{N-j},\ldots) + (T_{N-j} - T_{N+1-j})s_{\delta_j^\vee\lambda}(x|T) \tag{5.10}$$

for all $j = 1,\ldots,N-1$. Here we set $s_{\delta_j^\vee\lambda}(x|T) = 0$ if $\delta_j^\vee|\lambda\rangle = 0$.

Proof. Inserting the identity $1 = \hat{r}_j\hat{r}_j^{-1}$ into the matrix element $\langle\lambda|B(y_n^{-1}|t)\cdots B(y_1^{-1}|t)|0\rangle$ we obtain from (2.13),

$$\langle\lambda|B(y_n^{-1}|t)\cdots B(y_1^{-1}|t)|0\rangle = \langle\lambda|\hat{r}_jB(y_n^{-1}|s_jt)\cdots B(y_1^{-1}|s_jt)\hat{r}_j^{-1}|0\rangle\ .$$

Exploiting the previous result (5.8) and the explicit action of the braid matrix (3.6) the assertion follows. □

5.3. **The Bethe ansatz equations.** We call the Bethe vectors (5.6) "on-shell" if the indeterminates $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ – called *Bethe roots* – are solutions to the following set of Bethe ansatz equations,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}(y_i - t_j) + (-1)^n q = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n\ . \tag{5.11}$$

Similarly, the dual Bethe vectors (5.7) are on-shell provided that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}(z_i + T_j) + (-1)^k q = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k\ . \tag{5.12}$$

We now wish to discuss properties of the solutions of the above equations. Let $\mathbb{F} := \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1, \dots, t_N\}\}$ be the algebraically closed field of Puiseux series in the equivariant parameters and – assuming that $q^{\pm 1/N}$ exists – set $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1/N}]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{F}$ to be the completed tensor product. Note that by a simple rescaling $y_i \to q^{\frac{1}{N}} y_i$, $z_i \to q^{\frac{1}{N}} z_i$ and $t_j \to q^{\frac{1}{N}} t_j$ we can eliminate q from the above equations and we therefore often will set $q = 1$ temporarily to work with $\mathbb{F}$ only. Denote by $Y = Y(t_1, \dots, t_N) \subset \mathbb{F}^N$ the set of N solutions to (5.11) with $q = 1$ and by Y_q the solutions in $\mathbb{F}_q^N$. The following observations are immediate.

Lemma 5.4.

(i) *Permutation invariance: for any $w \in \mathbb{S}_N$ we have $Y_q(wt) = Y_q(t)$.*
(ii) *Level-rank duality: if $y_i \in Y_q(w_0 t)$ then $z_i = -y_i$ is a solution of (5.12).*
(iii) *$\mathbb{Z}_N$-covariance: let $\eta^N = 1$ then $\eta Y(t) = Y(\eta^{-1} t)$. In particular, if we set $t_j = \eta^j$ then $\eta Y(t_1, \dots, t_N) = Y(t_N, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{N-1})$.*

Note that property (i) does not mean that each individual solution y_i stays invariant under permutations, especially we emphasise that the factorial Schur functions in the expansions (5.8), (5.9) of the Bethe vectors are *not* symmetric in the equivariant parameters t. (ii) reflects that there are two alternative ways of writing the same eigenvector using (5.6) and (5.7). (iii) is related to the transformation property (3.9) under the map (3.5).

For our purposes, it will be convenient to parametrise n-tuples $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of solutions in Y_q in terms of 01-words or partitions $\alpha \in \Pi_{n,k}$. For this purpose we fix a numbering of the N solutions in Y_q by exploiting that the Bethe roots are explicitly known for $q = 0$.

Let $y_j = y_j(q)$ be the solution which maps on $y_j(0) = t_j$ when setting $q = 0$ with $j = 1, \dots, N$. We then simply write y_λ for the n-tuple $(y_{\ell_1}, \dots, y_{\ell_n})$ where $\ell_i(\lambda) = \lambda_{n+1-i} + i$ and $k \geq \lambda_1 \geq \cdots \lambda_n \geq 0$ as before. Property (ii) in the above Lemma states that we only need to consider (5.11) and fixes the convention for numbering the solutions of (5.12): given a particular solution $y_i(q)$ of (5.11) act with the longest permutation w_0 and then multiply it with minus one.

5.4. **Spectral decomposition of the transfer matrices.**

Theorem 5.5. *The on-shell Bethe vectors and their dual vectors both form orthogonal eigenbases of the transfer matrix H in each subspace $\mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}$ with eigenvalue equations*

(5.13)
$$H(x_i|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N}(1-x_it_j)+(-1)^n q x_i^N\right)\prod_{l=1}^{n}\frac{1}{1-x_i\ y_l}\ |y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle,$$

$$H(x_i|t)|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{k}(1+x_i\ z_l)\ \ |z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle\ . \tag{5.14}$$

In contrast, the operator E satisfies the identities

$$E(x_i|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = \prod_{l=1}^{n}(1+x_i\ y_l)\ \ |y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle \tag{5.15}$$

(5.16)
$$E(x_i|t)|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N}(1+x_iT_j)+(-1)^k q x_i^N\right)\prod_{l=1}^{k}\frac{1}{1-x_i\ z_l}\ |z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle\ .$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one used in the non-equivariant case [29]. One employs the following commutation relations of the row Yang-Baxter algebra (2.14) encoded in the Yang-Baxter equation (2.13),

$$\begin{cases} A(x)B(y) = \frac{x}{x-y}B(x)A(y) - \frac{y}{x-y}B(y)A(x) \\ D(y)B(x) = \frac{y}{x-y}B(y)D(x) - \frac{x}{x-y}B(x)D(y) \end{cases}$$

and the analogous relations for the osculating walker model which are easily obtained from level-rank duality (2.33). The latter in conjunction with (2.29) then allow one to derive all four eigenvalue expressions as well as the equations (5.11) as necessary conditions for (5.6), (5.7) to be eigenvectors.

There are $\dim \mathcal{V}_n = \binom{N}{n} = \binom{N}{k}$ solutions to (5.11) since each of the equations is of degree N and $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed.

One can show that both matrices H, E are normal and that the eigenvalues separate points, whence the eigenvectors (5.6), (5.7) have to be orthogonal and, thus, form each an eigenbasis. □

We employ the involution Θ to obtain transformation properties for the Bethe vectors.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\lambda^* = (\lambda^\vee)' = (\lambda')^\vee$ where λ' is the conjugate partition of λ and $\lambda^\vee$ the complement of λ in the $n\times k$ bounding box. Given a solution $y(t) = (y_1,\dots,y_n)$ of (5.11) there exists a solution $z(-T) = (z_1,\dots,z_n)$ of (5.12) with $k \to n$ such that*

$$\Theta|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = |z_1,\dots,z_n\rangle \in \mathcal{V}_k\ . \tag{5.17}$$

That is, under level-rank duality the y-Bethe vectors in $\mathcal{V}_n$ are mapped on to the z-Bethe vectors in $\mathcal{V}_k$.

Proof. Employing level-rank duality (2.33) of the monodromy matrices we have and

$$\Theta|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = C'(y_1|-T)\cdots C'(y_n|-T)|N\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(k,n)} s_{\lambda^*}(y|T)|\lambda\rangle$$
$$\Theta|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle = B(z_1|-T)\cdots B(z_k|-T)|N\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_{\lambda^*}(z|-t)|\lambda\rangle\ .$$

According to our previous results $\Theta|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle$ must be an eigenvector of both $E(u|-T)$ and $H(u|-T)$ in $\mathcal{V}_k$ and therefore proportional to $|z_1,\dots,z_n\rangle$ for some solution $z=z(y)$ of (5.12) since $\Theta H(u|t)\Theta = E(u|-T)$ and the eigenvalues separate points. □

Corollary 5.7. *We have the additional eigenvalue equations*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{E}_{r,n}|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = e_r(y|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle \\ \tilde{E}_{r,n}|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle = h_r(z|-T)|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle \end{cases}, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{H}_{r,n}|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle = e_r(z|-T)|z_1,\dots,z_k\rangle \\ \tilde{H}_{r,n}|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = h_r(y|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle \end{cases}, \tag{5.19}$$

for the operators (4.9), (4.17) defined in terms of factorial powers.

Proof. The first eigenvalue equation in (5.18) is a direct consequence of the definition (4.17) and the identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (u-x_i) = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r e_r(x|t)(u|t)^{n-r}$$

or equivalently

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (u+x_i) = \sum_{r=0}^n e_r(x|t)(u|-t)^{n-r}$$

where $e_r(x|t)$ is the factorial elementary symmetric function.

The functional equation (2.29) in terms of the expansions (4.9) and (4.17) reads

$$\left(\sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \tilde{E}_{r,n}(u|t)^{n-r}\right)\left(\sum_{r=0}^k \tilde{H}_{r,n}(u|T)^{k-r}\right) = (u|t)^N + (-1)^n q$$

Noting the trivial identities

$$(u|T)^r = (u|\tau^{N-r}t)^r, \qquad (u|T)^{k-r} = (u|\tau^{n+r}t)^{k-r}, \qquad (u|t)^n(u|T)^k = (u|t)^N$$

the latter becomes

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n+k}\sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^s \tilde{E}_{s,n}\tilde{H}_{r-s,n}(u|t)^{n-s}(u|\tau^{n-s+r}t)^{k-r+s} = (u|t)^N + (-1)^n q\ . \tag{5.20}$$

We have $(u|t)^{a+b} = (u|t)^a(u|\tau^a t)^b$ and the generally valid identity [38]

$$\sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s e_s(x|\tau^{r-1}a)h_{r-s}(x|a) = \sum_{s=0}^r (-1)^s e_s(x|a)h_{r-s}(x|\tau^{1-r}a) = 0 \tag{5.21}$$

from which we deduce the first eigenvalue equation in (5.19) by comparing coefficients. The remaining identities then follow from level rank duality, $\Theta H(u|t)\Theta = E(u|-T)$ and $\Theta|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = |z_1,\dots,z_n\rangle$. □

The alternative descriptions of the spectrum of the same operators in terms of Bethe roots and dual Bethe roots implies the following identities.

Lemma 5.8. *Given a solution y_α of (5.11) there exists a solution $z_\alpha = -y_{\alpha^*}$ of (5.12) such that*

$$e_r(y_1,\dots,y_n) = \sum_{s=0}^{r} e_s(T_1,\dots,T_N)h_{r-s}(z_1,\dots,z_k) \tag{5.22}$$

$$e_r(y_1,\dots,y_n|t) = h_r(z_1,\dots,z_k|-T), \qquad r=1,\dots,n \tag{5.23}$$

and, hence, one deduces the identities

$$h_r(z_1,\dots,z_k) = \sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s h_s(T_1,\dots,T_N)e_{r-s}(y_1,\dots,y_n) \tag{5.24}$$

$$s_{\lambda'}(y_1,\dots,y_n|t) = s_\lambda(z_1,\dots,z_k|-T) \tag{5.25}$$

Proof. The first two statements are a direct consequence of the Bethe ansatz computations and the alternative eigenvalue formulae for (5.6) and (5.7). The identities (5.22), (5.23) form a linear system of equations which can be easily solved to yield (5.24). To obtain (5.25) from (5.23) one uses the Nägelsbach-Kostka and Jacobi-Trudi formula (5.3) for factorial Schur functions. □

Lemma 5.9. *The Bethe ansatz equations (5.11) are equivalent to the identities*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{j}(-1)^r e_r(t_1,\dots,t_N)h_{j-r}(y_1,\dots,y_n) = 0, \quad j=k+1,\dots,N-1$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{N}(-1)^r e_r(t_1,\dots,t_N)h_{N-r}(y_1,\dots,y_n) = (-1)^{n-1}q \tag{5.26}$$

Proof. It follows from the allowed vertex configurations in Figure 4.1 that if $|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle$ is a joint eigenvector of $H(x|t)$ and $E(x|t)$ then the corresponding eigenvalues

$$H(x|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = \left(1+b_1x+\cdots+b_kx^k\right)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle$$

$$E(-x|t)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle = \left(1+a_1x+\cdots+a_nx^n\right)|y_1,\dots,y_n\rangle \tag{5.27}$$

are at most of degree k and n in x, respectively. Together with (5.13) this proves that the equations in (5.11) imply (5.26).

For the converse implication, assume that (5.26) hold true and compute the residue of the eigenvalue in (5.13) at $x = y_i^{-1}$. □

5.5. **Left eigenvectors & Poincaré duality.** For convenience we use the Dirac notation and denote the dual basis of the ket-vectors $\{|\lambda\rangle\}_{\lambda\in(n,k)}$ by the bra-vectors $\{\langle\lambda|\}_{\lambda\in(n,k)} \subset V_n^*$.

Proposition 5.10 (Poincaré duality)**.** *The left eigenvectors of the transfer matrices (dual eigenbasis) are given by*

$$\langle y_\alpha| = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}\langle\lambda|, \qquad \alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}, \tag{5.28}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha) = \prod_{\substack{i\in I(\alpha)\\ j\in I(\alpha^*)}} (y_i - y_j)\,. \tag{5.29}$$

We shall refer to the isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \to \left(\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}}\right)^\vee := \mathbb{F}_q \otimes V_n^*$ *given by the mapping* $|y_\alpha\rangle \mapsto \langle y_\alpha|$ *for all* $\alpha \in \Pi_{n,k}$ *as* Poincaré duality.

Proof. Recall the involution $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \to \mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$ defined by $\mathcal{P}|\lambda\rangle = |\lambda^\vee\rangle$. Then one verifies that the transpose of the matrices

$$\boldsymbol{H}(x|t) = (\langle\lambda|H(x|t)|\mu\rangle)_{\lambda,\mu\in(n,k)}, \qquad \boldsymbol{E}(x|t) = (\langle\lambda|E(x|t)|\mu\rangle)_{\lambda,\mu\in(n,k)}$$

are given by

$$\boldsymbol{H}(x|t)^T = (\langle\lambda|\mathcal{P}H(x|T)\mathcal{P}|\mu\rangle)_{\lambda,\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{E}(x|t)^T = (\langle\lambda|\mathcal{P}E(x|T)\mathcal{P}|\mu\rangle)_{\lambda,\mu}\ .$$

Thus, the first assertion, that $\langle y_\alpha|$ is a left eigenvector, follows from the explicit expansion (5.6) and the previous result (5.13), (5.15) that the Bethe vectors are right eigenvectors. Since the eigenvalues of the transfer matrices separate points we can conclude that $\langle y_\alpha|y_\beta\rangle$ must be proportional to the Kronecker function $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Let $\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)$ denote the proportionality factor which is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha) &= \mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)\langle y_\alpha|y_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T) \\
&= \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_{(\lambda^\vee)'}(z_\alpha|-t) = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_{\lambda^*}(-y_{\alpha^*}|-t) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{i\in I(\alpha)\\ j\in I(\alpha^*)}} (y_i - y_j),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we have made use of (5.25) and in the last step we have used the Cauchy identity for factorial Schur functions (5.5). □

Remark 5.11. *To motivate our definition of Poincaré duality recall that this mapping reduces to the known Poincaré duality in the non-equivariant setting,* $t_j = 0$*, and in the classical equivariant setting,* $q = 0$*.*

Since the Bethe vectors (5.6) and (5.28) form each an eigenbasis they give rise to a resolution of the identity $\mathbf{1} = \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} |y_\alpha\rangle\langle y_\alpha|$ which translates into the following identity for factorial Schur functions when evaluated at solutions of the Bethe ansatz equations.

Corollary 5.12 (orthogonality)**.** *For all* $\lambda, \mu \in (n,k)$ *we have the identity*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}\ . \tag{5.30}$$

5.6. **Bethe vectors and GKM theory.** Consider the extension of the $\mathbb{A}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$-actions (3.1) on Λ to $\mathbb{F}$ and set $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} := \mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}$ as before.

Proposition 5.13 (GKM conditions)**.** *The* $\mathbb{S}_N$*-action on* $\mathcal{V}_n$ *given by* $\{\boldsymbol{s}_j\}_{j=1}^{N-1}$ *in Prop 3.5 permutes the Bethe vectors* $|y_\alpha\rangle$ *according to the natural* $\mathbb{S}_N$*-action on the 01-words* α*, i.e.* $\boldsymbol{s}_j|y_\alpha\rangle = |y_{p_j\alpha}\rangle$ *where* p_j *permutes the* j*th and* $(j+1)$*th letter in* α*. In particular, we have that*

$$s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t) - s_j\cdot s_\lambda(y_{p_j\alpha}|t) = (t_j - t_{j+1})s_{\delta_j\lambda}(y_\alpha|t)\,, \quad j = 1,\dots,N-1, \tag{5.31}$$

where we set $s_{\delta_j\lambda}(y|T) \equiv 0$ *if* $\delta_j|\lambda\rangle = 0$*.*

Remark 5.14. *The last proposition states that we can identify in our setting the coefficients of the vector $|\lambda\rangle$ in the basis of on-shell Bethe vectors with a localised Schubert class. From (5.6), (5.28) and (5.30) it follows that*

$$|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} |y_\alpha\rangle \ . \tag{5.32}$$

Define $\xi_\lambda : \mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k \to \mathbb{F}_q$ by setting $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\lambda(\alpha) := s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)$ where α fixes uniquely a minimal length representative of a coset in $\mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k$. For $q = 0$ we have that $y_\alpha = t_\alpha$ and the equalities (5.31) become the familiar GKM conditions [21] *which fix a localised Schubert class with values in Λ. If $q \neq 0$ the solutions of (5.11) cease to be polynomial in the equivariant parameter in general and one therefore has to work over the algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}_q$ instead.*

Remark 5.15. *Note that it suffices to consider the $\mathbb{S}_N$-action, since the $\hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$-actions from Prop 3.5 and (3.1) are both of level-0 for $q = 1$. That is, the affine simple Weyl reflection acts via the Weyl reflection associated with the negative highest root. The case $q \neq 1$ can be recovered by rescaling Bethe roots and equivariant parameters by the same common factor $q^{-1/N}$ and, hence, the relations (5.31) remain unchanged.*

Proof. Let $|y_\alpha\rangle = |y_1, \ldots, y_n\rangle$ be a Bethe vector with $H(x|t)|y_\alpha\rangle = h_\alpha(x|t)|y_\alpha\rangle$. We infer from (3.8) that

$$s_j\hat{r}_j H(x|t)|y_\alpha\rangle = s_j H(x|s_jt)\hat{r}_j|y_\alpha\rangle = (s_j h_\alpha(x|t))s_j\hat{r}_j|y_\alpha\rangle$$

and, hence, that $s_j\hat{r}_j|y\rangle$ is an eigenvector of $H(x|t)$ with eigenvalue $s_j h_\alpha(x|t)$. Thus, there must exist another Bethe vector $|y_{\alpha'}\rangle$ with eigenvalue $h_{\alpha'}(x|t) = s_j h_y(x|t)$. Since the Bethe vectors form an eigenbasis and the eigenvalues of H separate points we must have that the braided eigenvector $s_j\hat{r}_j|y_\alpha\rangle$ is proportional to this second Bethe vector,

$$s_j\hat{r}_j|y_\alpha\rangle = \eta_j \cdot |y_{\alpha'}\rangle, \qquad \eta_j \in \mathbb{F} \ .$$

It follows from (2.13) for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$

$$\begin{aligned} s_j\hat{r}_j|y_\alpha\rangle &= s_j\hat{r}_j(y_1\cdots y_n)^N \hat{B}(y_n|t)\cdots\hat{B}(y_1|t)|0\rangle \\ &= s_j(y_1\cdots y_n)^N \hat{B}(y_n|s_jt)\cdots\hat{B}(y_1|s_jt)|0\rangle = \eta_j\ |y_{\alpha'}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since $s_j y_\alpha$ is also a solution of (5.11) the left hand side is of the form (5.6) and we can conclude that $\eta_j = 1$. To show that $\alpha' = p_j\alpha$ we first note that the claim is correct for $q = 0$, since then $y_\alpha = t_\alpha$ is a solution as discussed earlier. Expanding y_α at $q = 0$ it follows that this stays true in the vicinity of $q = 0$. By similar arguments one shows that $s_j\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha) = \mathfrak{e}(y_{p_j\alpha})$.

To derive (5.31) we start from the expansion (5.32) and act with $\boldsymbol{s}_j$ on both sides. The identities (5.31) then follow from (3.10) and (5.8) by comparing coefficients in the basis of Bethe vectors. □

Corollary 5.16. *Each Bethe vector $|y_\alpha\rangle$ obeys the qKZ equations (3.17) with respect to the subgroup $\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k \subset \mathbb{S}_N$ where the latter embedding depends on α.*

Proof. If j is such that $p_j\alpha = \alpha$ it follows from Lemma 3.11 that $\partial_j|y_\alpha\rangle = \delta_j^\vee|y_\alpha\rangle$ with

$$\delta_j^\vee|y\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_{\lambda^\vee}(y|T)\delta_j^\vee|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_{(\delta_j\lambda)^\vee}(y|T)|\lambda\rangle \ .$$

□

6. Combinatorial construction of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$

Using the results from the Bethe ansatz we now prove that the row-to-row transfer matrices generate a symmetric Frobenius algebra. We will identify the latter with the presentation of the equivariant quantum cohomology ring as Jacobi algebra put forward in [14] and [16].

6.1. **Noncommutative factorial Schur functions.** We employ the identity (5.2) for factorial Schur functions to define for any $\lambda \in \Pi_{n,k}$ the following operator $\tilde{S}^{(n)}_\lambda : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$

$$\tilde{S}^{(n)}_\lambda = \sum_{\mu\subseteq\lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} \det(e_{\lambda_i-\mu_j-i+j}(t_1,\dots,t_{n+\lambda_i-i}))_{1\le i,j\le n}\ S^{(n)}_\mu, \tag{6.1}$$

where $S^{(n)}_\lambda : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$ is given by the analogue of the Nägelsbach-Kostka determinant formula,

$$S^{(n)}_\lambda = \det(E_{\lambda'_i-i+j})_{1\le i,j\le k}\ . \tag{6.2}$$

Note that both operators are well-defined since the E_r's commute pairwise. We define $\tilde{S}_\lambda, S_\lambda : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ to be the unique operators which restrict to $\tilde{S}^{(n)}_\lambda, S^{(n)}_\lambda$ on each $\mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 < n < N$ and to the identity for $n = 0, N$. We will often omit the superscript if it is clear on which subspace $\tilde{S}^{(n)}_\lambda, S^{(n)}_\lambda$ are acting.

It might be helpful to the reader to illustrate the definition on a concrete example.

Example 6.1. *We find from formula (6.1) that the operator $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ with $\lambda = (2,1)$ reads explicitly*

$$\tilde{S}_{2,1} = S_{2,1} - T_4S_{2,0} - (T_2+T_3+T_4)S_{1,1} + (T_2+T_3+T_4)T_4S_{1,0} - (T_2+T_3)T_4^2S_{0,0}\ .$$

We now demonstrate on a simple example that we can compute the product expansions $\sigma_\lambda * \sigma_\mu$ in the quantum cohomology ring by identifying the basis vectors in the expansion of $\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle$ with the respective Schubert classes in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$. For instance, let us compute the matrix elements $\langle 2,1|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\lambda\rangle, \langle 2,2|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\lambda\rangle$ with $\lambda = (2,1)$ as before. Using that $S_{2,1} = E_2E_1 - E_3$, $S_{2,0} = E_1^2 - E_2$, $S_{1,1} = E_2$, $S_{1,0} = E_1$ and $S_{0,0} = 1$ we find from (4.6) the matrix elements

μ	$2,1$	$2,0$	$1,1$	$1,0$
$\langle 2,1\|S_\mu\|\lambda\rangle$	$T_1T_3(T_1+T_3)$	$2T_1T_3+T_1^2+T_3^2-T_1T_3$	T_1T_3	T_1+T_3
$\langle 2,2\|S_\mu\|\lambda\rangle$	$T_1(T_1+T_2)+T_1T_3$	$T_1+T_2+T_3$	T_1	1

N.B. that E_3 does not give any contribution, since we are acting on a 01-word with two 1-letters. Inserting the results into the above expansion formula for $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ and cancelling terms we obtain

$$\langle 2,1|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\lambda\rangle = T_{12}T_{14}T_{34} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 2,2|S_\mu|\lambda\rangle = T_{14}^2 \tag{6.3}$$

which are the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants $C^{(2,1),d=0}_{\lambda\lambda}(T)$ and $C^{(2,2),d=0}_{\lambda\lambda}(T)$ for $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$. The latter can - for example - be computed using Knutson-Tao puzzles; see Figure 6.1 and [27] for their definition. In general, $d \neq 0$, Knutson-Tao puzzles cannot be used to compute the product in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. To see a genuine quantum product we observe that $\tilde{S}_{(1)} = \tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{H}_1$ in which case we derived previously the equivariant quantum Pieri rule (4.16).



FIGURE 6.1. Equivariant Knutson-Tao puzzles [27] can be used to compute Gromov-Witten invariants for $q = 0$, i.e. the product in $H^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. On top the allowed puzzle tiles are shown, on the bottom three puzzles whose weighted sum gives the Gromov-Witten invariant $C^{(2,2),d=0}_{(2,1),(2,1)}(T) = T_{14}(T_{12} + T_{23} + T_{34}) = T^2_{14}$.

The following lemma will be instrumental in proving that the matrix elements of (6.1) are Gromov-Witten invariants.

Lemma 6.2. *Consider the (unique) extension of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ to $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{F}}_n := \mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}$. (i) The Bethe vectors (5.6) are eigenvectors of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ and on each $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{F}}_n$ we have the eigenvalue equation $\tilde{S}_\lambda|y_\alpha\rangle = s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)|y_\alpha\rangle$ where $s_\lambda(x|t)$ is the factorial Schur function. (ii) Let $|\emptyset\rangle = v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_0 \in \mathcal{V}_n$ be the unique basis vector which corresponds to the empty partition. Then $\tilde{S}_\lambda|\emptyset\rangle = |\lambda\rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \Pi_{n,k}$.*

Proof. Statement (i) is a direct consequence of (5.15) and that the expansion (6.1) applies to the factorial Schur function; see [38, Eqn (6.18)]. To prove (ii) recall the expansion of $|\emptyset\rangle$ and $|\lambda\rangle$ into Bethe vectors,

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda|\emptyset\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}} \mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)^{-1}\tilde{S}_\lambda|y_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}} \mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)^{-1}s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)|y_\alpha\rangle = |\lambda\rangle \ .$$

□

Using this last result we can derive two alternative determinant formulae for (6.1). Recall the expansions (4.9) and (4.17) of the transfer matrices on the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n$. We now introduce so-called *shifted factorial powers*: let τ denote the shift-operator and set $(u|\tau^j T)^p := (u - T_{j+1})(u - T_{j+2}) \cdots (u - T_{j+p})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then we define $\tau^j \tilde{H}_{r,n} : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$ to be the coefficient of $(u|\tau^j T)^{k-r}$ in the expansion of $u^k H(u^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$ into shifted factorial powers analogous to (4.9). Similarly, let $\tau^j \tilde{E}_{r,n} : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$ be the coefficient of $(u| - \tau^j t)^{n-r}$ when expanding $u^n E(u^{-1})|_{\mathcal{V}_n}$ into the shifted factorial powers $(u| - \tau^j t)^p := (u + t_{j+1})(u + t_{j+2}) \cdots (u + t_{j+p})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$; compare with (4.17).

Lemma 6.3 (Jacobi-Trudi and Nägelsbach-Kostka formulae)**.** *We have the following identities for the operator (6.1) on each subspace $\mathcal{V}_n$,*

$$\tilde{S}^{(n)}_\lambda = \det\left(\tau^{j-1}\tilde{H}_{\lambda_i - i + j, n}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n} = \det\left(\tau^{j-1}\tilde{E}_{\lambda'_i - i + j, n}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \ , \tag{6.4}$$

where λ' denotes the conjugate partition of λ.

Proof. Consider first the extension of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ to $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. Because the Bethe vectors form an eigenbasis we can use the previous lemma and the known analogous determinant formulae (5.3)

$$s_\lambda(x|t) = \det\left(h_{\lambda_i-i+j}(x|\tau^{1-j}t)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n} = \det\left(e_{\lambda'_i-i+j}(x|\tau^{j-1}t)\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}$$

for factorial Schur functions to deduce the asserted identities on $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}}$. But since the operators $\tau^j\tilde{H}_{r,n}, \tau^j\tilde{E}_{r,n}$ in both identities restrict to maps $\mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_n$ according to their definition the assertion follows. N.B. that the shifted powers $\tau^j\tilde{H}_{r,n}$ have been defined with respect to the $T_i = t_{N+1-i}$ parameters, hence the shift in the first identity in (6.4) is positive. □

We demonstrate the alternative formulae by computing the Gromov-Witten invariants from the last example using (6.4).

Example 6.4. *We return to our previous example of $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$ with $k = n = 2$. To calculate the matrix elements $\langle 2,1|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\lambda\rangle$, $\langle 2,2|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\lambda\rangle$ with $\lambda = (2,1)$ via (6.4), we first observe that $\tilde{S}_\lambda = \tilde{H}_2\,\tau\tilde{H}_1 = \tilde{E}_2\,\tau\tilde{E}_1$, where we have neglected the contribution from $\tilde{H}_3, \tilde{E}_3$ as both identically vanish on $\mathcal{V}_2$ for $N = 4$. Compute from (4.5), (4.6) the matrix elements $\langle 2,2|\tilde{H}(x_1)\tilde{H}(x_2)|\lambda\rangle$, $\langle 2,2|\tilde{E}(x_1)\tilde{E}(x_2)|\lambda\rangle$ of the transfer matrices - see Figure 6.2 - and then expand the resulting polynomials into (shifted) factorial powers $(x_1|\tau T)^{\alpha_1}(x_2|T)^{\alpha_2}$ and $(x_1|-\tau t)^{\alpha_1}(x_2|-t)^{\alpha_2}$. One finds for the vicious walker model that*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 2,2|\tilde{H}(x_1)\tilde{H}(x_2)|\lambda\rangle &= (x_1-T_4)(x_2-T_4)(x_2-T_3)+(x_1-T_4)(x_1-T_2)(x_2-T_4)\\ &= (T_{13}T_{12}+T_{13}T_{24})(x_1-T_2)+\ \ldots\ +T_{14}T_{34}(x_1-T_2)+\ \ldots\end{aligned}$$

where we have only listed the terms corresponding to the shifted factorial power $\alpha = (k-1,k-2) = (1,0)$. Hence, we have $\langle 2,2|\tilde{H}_2\,\tau\tilde{H}_1|\lambda\rangle = T_{14}^2$ as required. Similarly one finds for the osculating walker model that

$$\langle 2,2|\tilde{E}(x_1)\tilde{E}(x_2)|\lambda\rangle = (x_1+t_4)(x_2+t_3)(x_2+t_4)+(x_1+t_4)(x_1+t_2)(x_2+t_4)$$

and, thus, by a simple substitution, we recover the previous result, $\langle 2,2|\tilde{E}_2\,\tau\tilde{E}_1|\lambda\rangle = t_{41}^2 = T_{14}^2$. Note that in general both computations will be different if $n \neq k$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda'$.

Motivated by the previous examples we now define a purely combinatorial product on $\mathcal{V}_n$. The resulting ring will be identified in the next section with the equivariant quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian.

Theorem 6.5. *Define a product on $\mathcal{V}_n$ by setting*

$$|\lambda\rangle \circledast |\mu\rangle := \tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle\ . \tag{6.5}$$

Then $(\mathcal{V}_n, \circledast)$ is a commutative ring over $\Lambda[q]$.

Proof. Consider once more the extension of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ to $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} := \mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}$ which is defined over the field of Puiseux series in the equivariant parameters. Computing the action



FIGURE 6.2. Shown on top are the two possible vicious paths which connect the 01-words for $\mu = (2,1)$ and $\lambda = (2,2)$. On the bottom we see that osculating paths are the same. However, both pairs yield different weighted sums.

of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ by employing the Bethe vectors we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle &= \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}\tilde{S}_\lambda|y_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}|y_\alpha\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu\in(n,k)}\sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\nu^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}\ |\nu\rangle\ .\end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients in the last line are symmetric in λ, μ the product is clearly commutative. Recall that it follows from the definition (6.1) and the explicit formula (3.34) together with (3.25) that the expansion coefficients of $\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle$ in the basis $\{|\nu\rangle\}_{\nu\in\Pi_{n,k}}$ must be in $\Lambda[q]$, although this is not obvious from the last equality.

Associativity now follows from $\tilde{S}_\lambda\tilde{S}_\mu = \tilde{S}_\mu\tilde{S}_\lambda$ which in turn is a consequence of (6.1) and Cor 2.7,

$$|\lambda\rangle \circledast (|\mu\rangle \circledast |\nu\rangle) = \tilde{S}_\lambda\tilde{S}_\mu|\nu\rangle = \tilde{S}_\lambda\tilde{S}_\nu|\mu\rangle = \tilde{S}_\nu\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle = |\nu\rangle \circledast (|\lambda\rangle \circledast |\mu\rangle) = (|\lambda\rangle \circledast |\mu\rangle) \circledast |\nu\rangle\ .$$

□

An immediate consequence of our proof of the last theorem is the following expression of the structure constants in terms of the Bethe roots.

Corollary 6.6 (residue formula)**.** *The structure constants of the ring $(\mathcal{V}_n, \circledast)$ are given in terms of the following residue formula*

$$C^{\nu,d}_{\lambda\mu}(T) := \langle\nu|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\nu^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}\ , \tag{6.6}$$

where the sum ranges over all solutions $y_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ of the Bethe ansatz equations (5.11).

Remark 6.7. *Our residue formula is a generalisation of the Bertram-Vafa-Intriligator formula for Gromov-Witten invariants to the equivariant setting. It holds also true for $q = 0$, where the Bethe roots are explicitly known, $y_i = T_i$.*

Another generalisation from the non-equivariant case is the following duality; compare with the known duality in $H^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$.

Corollary 6.8 (level-rank duality)**.** *We have the following identity*

$$C^{\nu,d}_{\lambda\mu}(T) = C^{\nu',d}_{\lambda'\mu'}(-t) \tag{6.7}$$

where $t = w_0 T$ as before. In particular, the mapping $\Theta : \mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{V}_k$ with the product in $(\mathcal{V}_k, \circledast')$ defined by replacing $t_j \to -T_{N+1-j}$ in the definition of $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ is a ring isomorphism.

Proof. A straightforward computation making use of the identity (5.25),

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\nu,d}_{\lambda\mu}(T) &= \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\nu^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_{\lambda'}(-y_{\alpha^*}|-T)s_{\mu'}(-y_{\alpha^*}|-T)s_{(\nu^\vee)'}(-y_{\alpha^*}|-t)}{\mathfrak{e}(-y_{\alpha^*})} = C^{\nu',d}_{\lambda'\mu'}(-t)\ . \end{aligned}$$

□

It is obvious from our discussion that the Bethe ansatz is central to our discussion and the following result clarifies the role of the Bethe vectors with regard to the product in quantum cohomology.

Corollary 6.9 (idempotents)**.** *The algebra $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{F}}_n = \mathcal{V}_n \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is semisimple and canonically isomorphic to the generalised matrix algebra defined via $|y_\alpha\rangle \circledast |y_\beta\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)|y_\alpha\rangle$, i.e. the on-shell Bethe vectors (5.6) yield a complete set of idempotents for $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{F}}_n$.*

Proof. A straightforward computation using the fact that the Bethe vectors diagonalise (6.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |y_\alpha\rangle \circledast |y_\beta\rangle &= \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)\tilde{S}_\lambda|y_\beta\rangle = \sum_{\lambda\in(n,k)} s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)s_\lambda(y_\beta|t)|y_\beta\rangle \\ &= \mathfrak{e}(y_\beta)|y_\beta\rangle\langle y_\beta|y_\alpha\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)|y_\alpha\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.28) to arrive at the second line. □

6.2. **Canonical isomorphisms.** We now identify the combinatorial ring from the previous section with $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$. Starting point is the following presentation of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ as Jacobi algebra. For type A this result is originally due to Gepner [14] and for general flag varieties it is contained in [16].

Denote by $p_r = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r$ the power sums in some commuting indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Define the so-called fusion potential by setting

$$F(x_1, \ldots, x_n; q) = (-1)^k q p_1 + \sum_{r=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^{N-r} p_{N+1-r}}{N+1-r} e_r(t_1, \ldots, t_N)\ , \tag{6.8}$$

where $e_r(t_1, \ldots, t_N)$ is once more the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree r in the equivariant parameters. Note that this fusion potential $F = F_{n,k}$ for $n > 1$

can be written as the sum of fusion potentials for the case of projective space $n = 1$, that is

$$F_{n,k}(x_1, \dots, x_n; q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{1,N-1}(x_i; (-1)^{n-1} q) \ .$$

We have the following known result; c.f. [14], [16].

Theorem 6.10 (Jacobi algebra)**.** *The algebra $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_q$ is canonically isomorphic to the Jacobi algebra $\mathfrak{J} = \mathbb{F}_q[e_1, \dots, e_n]/\langle \partial F/\partial e_1, \dots, \partial F/\partial e_n \rangle$.*

Remark 6.11. *It might be instructive to remind the reader about the equivalence of the equations $\partial F/\partial e_j = 0$ and the Bethe ansatz equations (5.11). Recall the following identities*

$$\sum_{r=0}^{j} (-1)^r e_r h_{j-r} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial p_r}{\partial e_j} = (-1)^{j-1} h_{r-j} \ , \tag{6.9}$$

where e_r, h_r are the elementary and complete symmetric functions. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we then have

$$0 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_j} = (-1)^{N+j-1} \sum_{r=0}^{N} (-1)^r e_r(t_1, \dots, t_N) h_{k+1-r+n-j} + \delta_{j1} (-1)^k q$$

These are the relations (5.26) which are equivalent to the Bethe ansatz equations (5.11).

Proposition 6.12. *The Jacobi algebra $\mathfrak{J}$ has a complete set of idempotents*

$$P_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j \in I(\alpha^*)} (x_i - y_j), \tag{6.10}$$

where α ranges over all partitions in the $n \times k$ bounding box.

N.B. the idempotents (6.10) are symmetric in the indeterminates x_i and, hence, can be expressed as a polynomial in the e_i's although it is not practical to do so. In contrast the idempotents are not symmetric in the Bethe roots $(y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ as a particular subset of k roots is chosen. In fact, a relabelling of the Bethe roots $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ yields a relabelling of the idempotents.

Theorem 6.13. *The map $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} \to \mathfrak{J}$ given by $|\lambda\rangle \mapsto s_\lambda(x|t)$ for all $\lambda \in (n, k)$ is an algebra isomorphism. In particular, the renormalised Bethe vectors $Y_\alpha = \mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)^{-1} |y_\alpha\rangle$ are mapped onto the idempotents (6.10) and the matrix elements $q^d C^{\nu,d}_{\lambda\mu}(T) = \langle \nu | \tilde{S}_\lambda | \mu \rangle$ with $dN = |\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu|$ are the equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants.*

Proof. Upon mapping $|\lambda\rangle \mapsto s_\lambda(x|t)$ the image of the Bethe vectors is given by

$$|y_\alpha\rangle \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in (n,k)} \frac{s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} s_\lambda(x|t) \ .$$

To see that these are the idempotents given by the expression (6.10) we use (5.25) with $z = -y_{\alpha^*}$ and the Cauchy identity (5.5). As the set of idempotents is complete, $\mathcal{V}_n^{\mathbb{F}} = \bigoplus_\alpha \mathbb{F}|y_\alpha\rangle$, this fixes the isomorphism uniquely. □

The following identifies the coefficients (3.33) and (3.34) of the transfer matrices as the Givental-Kim generators in the presentation (1.1) of $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$.

Corollary 6.14. *Let $\mathbb{P}_n \subset \operatorname{End}\mathcal{V}_n$ be the commutative $\Lambda[q]$-algebra generated by the restriction of the operators $\{H_r\}_{r=1}^k$ and $\{E_r\}_{r=1}^n$ to the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n$. Then the map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}_n \to QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ defined by*

$$\varphi(E_i) = (-1)^i a_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi(H_j) = b_j \tag{6.11}$$

with $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, k$ is an algebra isomorphism. In particular, $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ as defined in (6.1) is mapped to the Schubert class σ_λ.

Proof. Recall the identity (2.29) on the subspace $\mathcal{V}_n$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^i E_i\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} x^j H_j\right) = (-1)^n q x^N + \prod_{r=1}^{N}(1 - xT_r)\ . \tag{6.12}$$

But expanding this polynomial identity with respect to the variable x we deduce the defining relations (1.2) of I in the presentation (1.1). This result combined with the fact that the eigenvalues (5.13), (5.15) separate points and that the Bethe vectors form an eigenbasis then gives the canonical algebra isomorphism, since it shows that the coordinate ring defined via (2.29) is just (1.1).

Because $\{|\lambda\rangle\}_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{V}_n$ we can conclude that - according to Lemma 6.2 (ii) - the operators $\{\tilde{S}_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}}$ are linearly independent and, thus, span $\mathbb{P}_n$. Since we have previously identified the matrix elements $\langle\nu|\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle = \langle\nu|\tilde{S}_\lambda\tilde{S}_\mu|\emptyset\rangle = q^d C_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,d}(T)$ with the Gromov-Witten invariants for all $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Pi_{n,k}$, the image $\varphi(\tilde{S}_\lambda)$ must be the Schubert class σ_λ. □

The following presentations are due to Laksov [32, Examples 7.4 -6].

Corollary 6.15 (Laksov)**.** *There exist isomorphisms such that*

$$QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong \Lambda[q][h_1, \dots, h_k]/\langle E_{n+1}, \dots, E_{N-1}, E_N + (-1)^k q\rangle \tag{6.13}$$

with $E_r = \sum_{s=0}^{r}(-1)^s h_{r-s}(T_1, \dots, T_N)\det(h_{1+a-b})_{1\le a,b\le r}$ and

$$QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong \Lambda[q][e_1, \dots, e_n]/\langle H_{k+1}, \dots, H_{N-1}, H_N + (-1)^n q\rangle \tag{6.14}$$

with $H_j = \sum_{r=0}^{j}(-1)^s e_s(T_1, \dots, T_N)\det(e_{1+a-b})_{1\le a,b\le j-r}$.

Proof. Using the alternative form (5.26) of the Bethe ansatz equations (5.11) one obtains by the same arguments as before that the coordinate ring defined by (5.26) is equivalent to the presentation (1.1). This yields the presentation (6.14). The presentation (6.13) then follows from level-rank duality. □

Laksov showed that his presentations are equivalent to the following alternative descriptions of $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ due to Mihalcea [41].

Corollary 6.16 (Mihalcea)**.** *There exist canonical isomorphisms such that*

$$QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong \Lambda[q][\tilde{h}_1, \dots, \tilde{h}_k]/\langle \tilde{e}_{n+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{N-1}, \tilde{e}_N + (-1)^k q\rangle \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \cong \Lambda[q][\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n]/\langle \tilde{h}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{h}_{N-1}, \tilde{h}_N + (-1)^n q\rangle \tag{6.16}$$

where $\tilde{e}_r = \det(h_{1+j-i}(x|\tau^{1-j}t))_{1\le i,j\le r}$ and $\tilde{h}_r = \det(e_{1+j-i}(x|\tau^{j-1}t))_{1\le i,j\le r}$.

Thus, we arrive at the following identification of the coefficients (4.9), (4.17) of the transfer matrices with respect to factorial powers.

Corollary 6.17. *The maps $\mathbb{P}_n \to QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ defined by $\tilde{H}_{j,n} \mapsto \tilde{h}_j$ and $\tilde{E}_{i,n} \mapsto \tilde{e}_i$ with respect to the presentations (6.15), (6.16) are both algebra isomorphisms. In particular, $\tilde{H}_{1,n}$ can be identified with the equivariant first Chern class of the nth exterior power of the tautological n-plane bundle of the Grassmannian.*

6.3. **The nil-Coxeter algebra and the quantum product.** We first focus on the simplest case $\tilde{H}_1 = H_1 + t_{n+1} + \cdots + t_N$.

Corollary 6.18. *We have the following modified Leibniz rule for the first Chern class,*

$$\delta_j^\vee \tilde{H}_1 = (s_j \tilde{H}_1)\delta_j^\vee + (\partial_j \tilde{H}_1) + (\delta_{jn} - \delta_{jN})\hat{r}_j \tag{6.17}$$

where the δ's in the last term denote the Kronecker delta. So, in particular we obtain for the equivariant quantum Pieri formula,

$$\delta_j^\vee(\square \circledast \mu) = s_j(\square \circledast \delta_j^\vee \mu) + \partial_j(\square \circledast \mu) + (\delta_{jn} - \delta_{jN})\hat{r}_j \mu \ .$$

Remark 6.19. *In contrast, Peterson states the following relation between quantum product and the action of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra for any two Schubert classes σ, σ'* [46, Prop 14.4]

$$(\partial_j \sigma) * \sigma' = \partial_j \left(\sigma * (s_j \sigma')\right) + \sigma * (\partial_j \sigma')$$

Proposition 6.20. *Fix $\lambda \in \Pi_{n,k}$. If there exists a $1 \leq j \leq N$ such that the coefficients in (6.1) are invariant under exchanging t_j, t_{j+1}, then we have the following Leibniz rule for quantum multiplication,*

$$\delta_j^\vee(\lambda \circledast \mu) = s_j(\lambda \circledast \delta_j^\vee \mu) + \partial_j(\lambda \circledast \mu), \tag{6.18}$$

which directly relates Peterson's nil-Coxeter algebra action on Schubert classes to the product in $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$.

Proof. Since it follows from (2.3) that $\hat{r}_j E = (s_j E)\hat{r}_j$ we must have $\hat{r}_j E_\mu = (s_j E_\mu)\hat{r}_j$ with $E_\mu = E_{\mu_1} \cdots E_{\mu_k}$ for all $\mu_i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, exploiting the definition (6.2) it follows that $\hat{r}_j S_\lambda = (s_j S_\lambda)\hat{r}_j$. This implies via (6.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_j^\vee \tilde{S}_\lambda &= (s_j \tilde{S}_\lambda)\delta_j^\vee + \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} (c_\mu - (s_j c_\mu))(s_j S_\mu)\delta_j^\vee + \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} c_\mu (\partial_j S_\mu) \\
&= (s_j \tilde{S}_\lambda)\delta_j^\vee + (\partial_j \tilde{S}_\lambda) + \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} (c_\mu - (s_j c_\mu))(s_j S_\mu)\delta_j^\vee - \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} (\partial_j c_\mu)(s_j S_\mu) \\
&= (s_j \tilde{S}_\lambda)\delta_j^\vee + (\partial_j \tilde{S}_\lambda) - \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} (\partial_j c_\mu)(s_j S_\mu)\hat{r}_j \qquad (6.19)
\end{aligned}$$

where c_μ are the coefficients in (6.1) and we used once more that $\hat{r}_j = 1 - (t_j - t_{j+1})\delta_j^\vee$. Under the stated assumptions we have that $\partial_j c_\mu = 0$ and the relation simplifies to

$$\delta_j^\vee \tilde{S}_\lambda = (s_j \tilde{S}_\lambda)\delta_j^\vee + (\partial_j \tilde{S}_\lambda) \ .$$

Applying both sides of this identity to a basis vector $|\mu\rangle$ and using the definition (6.5), the assertion follows. □

Example 6.21. *Consider once more $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$ and set $\lambda = (2,1)$ and $\mu = (2,2)$. Employing $s_j \partial_j = \partial_j$ we can rewrite (6.18) as*

$$(\lambda \circledast \delta_j^\vee \mu) = s_j \delta_j^\vee(\lambda \circledast \mu) - \partial_j(\lambda \circledast \mu)$$

which allows us to compute the product $\lambda \circledast \delta_j^\vee \mu$ *in terms of* $\lambda \circledast \mu$ *by using the actions (3.2) and (3.12) of the nil-Coxeter algebra. For the case at hand, we have* $\delta_2^\vee \mu = (2,1)$*, so we can calculate the product of the Schubert classes* $(2,1) \circledast (2,1)$ *in terms of the product expansion*

$$(2,1) \circledast (2,2) = T_{14}T_{13}T_{24}\ (2,2) + q\ (2,1) + qT_{13}\ (2,0) + qT_{24}\ (1,1) + qT_{13}T_{24}\ (1,0)\ ,$$

where $T_{ij} := T_i - T_j$*. The latter can be obtained by alternative means such as the known recursion relations for Gromov-Witten invariants. Converting each partition occurring in the product expansion into the associated 01-word we now easily find*

$$s_2\delta_2^\vee(\lambda \circledast \mu) = T_{14}T_{12}T_{34}\ (2,1) + qT_{12}T_{34}\ (0,0)$$

all other terms vanish. Noting that $-\partial_2 = T_{23}^{-1}(1 - s_2)$ *we have in addition the terms*

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_2(\lambda \circledast \mu) &= T_{14}\tfrac{T_{13}T_{24}-T_{12}T_{34}}{T_{23}}\ (2,2) + q\ (2,0) + q\ (1,1) + q\tfrac{T_{13}T_{24}-T_{12}T_{34}}{T_{23}}\ (1,0) \\ &= T_{14}^2\ (2,2) + q\ (2,0) + q\ (1,1) + qT_{14}\ (1,0)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after collecting terms we find the product expansion

$$(2,1) \circledast (2,1) = T_{14}^2\ (2,2) + T_{14}T_{12}T_{34}\ (2,1) + q\ (2,0) + q\ (1,1) + qT_{14}\ (1,0) + qT_{12}T_{34}\ (0,0)$$

which can be verified via the recursion relations.

6.4. **Frobenius structures & partition functions.** We are now ready to prove that the partition functions of the vicious and osculating walker models are generating functions for equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants. In fact, the partition functions have a natural interpretation when looking at the quantum cohomology ring as a Frobenius algebra.

Proposition 6.22. *The Jacobi algebra* $\mathfrak{J} \cong QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N}) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ *with bilinear form*

$$\langle s_\lambda | s_\mu \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in (n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t) s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}, \qquad y_\alpha = \{y_i\}_{i \in I(\alpha)} \tag{6.20}$$

is a commutative Frobenius algebra.

Proof. The bilinear form is non-degenerate and obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_\lambda | s_\mu s_\nu \rangle &= \sum_{\rho \in (n,k)} C_{\mu\nu}^{\rho,d}(T) \sum_{\alpha \in (n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t) s_\rho(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in (n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t) s_\mu(y_\beta|t) s_\nu(y_\beta|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\beta)\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \sum_{\rho \in (n,k)} s_{\rho^\vee}(y_\beta|T) s_\rho(y_\alpha|t) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in (n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t) s_\mu(y_\alpha|t) s_\nu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

The last result is clearly invariant under permutations of (λ, μ, ν), so we can conclude that $\langle s_\lambda | s_\mu s_\nu \rangle = \langle s_\lambda s_\mu | s_\nu \rangle$ as required. □

Lemma 6.23. *The image of a Schubert class* s_λ *under the Frobenius coproduct* $\Delta_{n,k} : \mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{J} \otimes \mathfrak{J}$ *is given by*

$$\Delta_{n,k} s_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in (n,k) \\ d \geq 0}} q^d s_{\lambda/d/\mu} \otimes s_\mu, \tag{6.21}$$

where $s_{\lambda/d/\mu}$ is a generalised factorial skew Schur function,

$$s_{\lambda/d/\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{\nu\in(n,k)} C_{\mu^\vee\nu^\vee}^{\lambda^\vee,d}(t)s_\nu(x|t) \tag{6.22}$$

with the coefficients $C_{\mu^\vee\nu^\vee}^{\lambda^\vee,d}(t)$ given via (6.6).

Proof. Let $\Phi : \mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{J}^*$ denote the Frobenius isomorphism given by $s_\lambda \mapsto \langle s_\lambda| \cdot \rangle$ and $m : \mathfrak{J} \times \mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{J}$ the multiplication map. Then

$$m^* \circ \Phi(s_\lambda)(s_\mu \otimes s_\nu) = \langle s_\lambda|s_\mu s_\nu\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_\nu(y_\alpha|t)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)}$$

as we saw earlier. Since this result must match

$$[(\Phi\otimes\Phi)\Delta s_\lambda](s_\mu\otimes s_\nu) = \sum_{\substack{\rho\in(n,k)\\ d\geq 0}} q^d\langle s_{\lambda/d/\rho}|s_\mu\rangle\langle s_\rho|s_\nu\rangle$$

one arrives at the stated definition of $s_{\lambda/d/\rho}$ and the claimed identity for the coproduct. □

Remark 6.24. *For $T_i = 0$ the function (6.22) specialises to Postnikov's toric Schur function. For $T_i \neq 0$ it is a nontrivial equivariant generalisation.*

Proposition 6.25. *The partition functions of the osculating and vicious walker models are related to the coproduct of $QH_T^*(\mathrm{Gr}_{n,N})$ seen as Frobenius algebra,*

$$\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{d\geq 0} q^d s_{\lambda^\vee/d/\mu^\vee}(x|T), \tag{6.23}$$

$$\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{d\geq 0} q^d s_{\lambda^*/d/\mu^*}(x|-t), \tag{6.24}$$

where the right hand side of the above identities are the generalised factorial skew Schur functions defined in (6.22).

Proof. Note that proving the two assertions amounts to proving the expansions

$$\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{\substack{\nu\in(n,k)\\ d\geq 0}} q^d C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d}(T)s_{\nu^\vee}(x|T) \tag{6.25}$$

and

$$\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = \sum_{\substack{\nu\in(n,k)\\ d\geq 0}} q^d C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda,d}(T)s_{\nu^*}(x|-t)\ . \tag{6.26}$$

Let us prove the second identity. First we recall from (4.2), (4.8) that $\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) = (x_1\cdots x_k)^n\langle\lambda|E(x_1^{-1})\cdots E(x_k^{-1})|\mu\rangle$. Employing the result (5.15) from the Bethe

ansatz and the Cauchy identity (5.5) for factorial Schur functions we find,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) &= \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} (x_1\cdots x_k)^n \langle\lambda|E(x_1^{-1})\cdots E(x_k^{-1})|y_\alpha\rangle\langle y_\alpha|\mu\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{n}(x_i+y_j(\alpha)) \\ &= \sum_{\nu\in(n,k)}\sum_{\alpha\in(n,k)} \frac{s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\lambda^\vee}(y_\alpha|T)}{\mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)} s_\nu(y_\alpha|t)s_{\nu^*}(x|-t) \\ &= \sum_{\nu\in(n,k)} C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\nu}(T)s_{\nu^*}(x|-t)\end{aligned}$$

The identity for the vicious walker model now follows from level-rank duality (2.33) and (6.7),

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}'_{\lambda,\mu}(x|t) &= (x_1\cdots x_k)^n\langle\lambda|E(x_1^{-1}|t)\cdots E(x_k^{-1}|t)|\mu\rangle \\ &= (x_1\cdots x_k)^n\langle\lambda'|H(x_1^{-1}|-T)\cdots H(x_k^{-1}|-T)|\mu'\rangle = \tilde{Z}'_{\lambda',\mu'}(x|-T)\,.\end{aligned}$$

□

We can restate the last result in operator form; compare with (5.5).

Corollary 6.26 (noncommutative Cauchy identities)**.** *We have the identities*

$$\tilde{Z}_n = \sum_\alpha (x|T)^\alpha \otimes \tilde{H}_{\alpha^\vee} = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}} s_{\lambda^\vee}(x|T)\otimes\tilde{S}_\lambda\,. \tag{6.27}$$

Here the first sum runs over all compositions $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i\le k$ and $\alpha^\vee=(k-\alpha_1,\ldots,k-\alpha_n)$. The analogous identities are true for $\tilde{Z}'_k$.

Proof. The first identity is a direct consequence of the definition of the partition function and (4.9), the second follows from the last proposition - see (6.25) - and (6.6). □

So far we have concentrated on the expansions of the partition functions into factorial Schur functions. The first expansion in (6.27) is also related to products in the quantum cohomology ring.

Corollary 6.27 (equivariant quantum Kostka numbers)**.** *Let $\tilde{h}_r$ and $\tilde{e}_r$ be the generators in Mihalcea's presentation (6.15) and (6.16). The coefficients in the product expansions*

$$\tilde{h}_\alpha * s_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}} q^d K_{\lambda/d/\mu,\alpha}(T)\; s_\lambda \tag{6.28}$$

$$\tilde{e}_\alpha * s_\mu = \sum_{\lambda\in\Pi_{n,k}} q^d K_{\lambda'/d/\mu',\alpha}(T)\; s_{\lambda'} \tag{6.29}$$

are given by the coefficients of the following polynomials in q

$$\langle\lambda|\tilde{H}_\alpha|\mu\rangle = \langle\lambda'|\tilde{E}_\alpha|\mu'\rangle = \sum_{d\ge0} q^d K_{\lambda/d/\mu,\alpha}(T)\,. \tag{6.30}$$

Using the determinant formulae (6.4) the last result provides a method to compute Gromov-Witten invariants. However, we believe the following algorithm to be simpler.



FIGURE 6.3. Shown are the two possible vicious paths which connect the 01-words for $\mu = (2,1)$ and $\lambda = (1,1)$.

6.5. **A determinant formula for Gromov-Witten invariants.** We can use the expansions (6.25), (6.26) to state a determinant formula for equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants in terms of the partition function of vicious and osculating walkers. We recall the following theorem due to Molev and Sagan [44, Thm 2.1].

Theorem 6.28 (Vanishing Theorem)**.** *Let λ, μ be partitions with $\ell(\lambda), \ell(\mu) \leq n$ and set $a_\mu = (a_{\mu_1+n}, \dots, a_{\mu_n+1})$. Then*

$$s_\lambda(a_\mu|a) = \begin{cases} \prod_{(i,j)\in\lambda}(a_{\lambda_i+n+1-i} - a_{n-\lambda'_j+j}), & \lambda = \mu \\ 0, & \lambda \not\subseteq \mu \end{cases} , \tag{6.31}$$

where λ' is the conjugate partition of λ.

Corollary 6.29. *Given $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ with $|\lambda| + |\mu| - |\nu| = dN$ let $\gamma(\nu) = (s_\beta(T_\alpha|T))_{\emptyset\leq\alpha,\beta\leq\nu}$ with respect to the dominance order of partitions. Denote by $\Gamma(\nu)$ the matrix which is obtained by replacing the first column vector in $\gamma(\nu)$ with $(\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(T_\nu|t), \dots, \tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(T_\emptyset|t))^t$. Then we have the identity*

$$q^d C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\nu^\vee}(T) = \frac{\det\Gamma(\nu)}{\prod_{\rho\subseteq\nu} s_\rho(T_\rho|T)} . \tag{6.32}$$

In particular, setting $\nu = \emptyset$ this simplifies to

$$q^d C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\ k^n}(T) = \tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(t_{k^n}|t) . \tag{6.33}$$

Proof. It follows from the expansion (6.23) that

$$\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(T_\nu|t) = \sum_{\rho\subseteq\nu} q^d C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\rho^\vee}(T)\ s_\rho(T_\nu|T) . \tag{6.34}$$

This defines a linear system of inhomogeneous equations for the Gromov-Witten invariants $C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\rho^\vee}(T)$ where $\emptyset \leq \rho \leq \nu$ in the dominance order. Formula (6.32) is then simply Cramer's rule, $q^d C^{\lambda,d}_{\mu\rho^\vee}(T) = \det\Gamma(\rho)/\det\gamma(\rho)$, upon noting that due to (6.31) the determinant in denominator simplifies as $\gamma(\rho)$ is triangular,

$$\det\gamma(\rho) = \det(s_\beta(T_\alpha|T))_{\emptyset\leq\alpha,\beta\leq\rho} = \prod_{\alpha\subseteq\rho} s_\alpha(T_\alpha|T) .$$

□

Example 6.30. *We use once more $QH^*_T(\mathrm{Gr}_{2,4})$ as a simple example to demonstrate how to compute Gromov-Witten invariants using (6.34). Recall from Figure 6.2 that the partition function of the vicious walker model for $\lambda = (2,2)$ and $\mu = (2,1)$ is*

$$\tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(x_1, x_2|t) = (x_1 - T_4)(x_2 - T_3)(x_2 - T_4) + (x_1 - T_2)(x_1 - T_4)(x_2 - T_4) .$$

Thus, starting from $\nu = \emptyset$ in (6.34) we set $x = T_\emptyset$ to obtain

$$C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (2,2)}(T) = T_{13}T_{14}T_{24}\ .$$

For the next step we choose $\nu = (1,0)$ and obtain the equation

$$\frac{\begin{vmatrix} \tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(T_{1,0}|t) & 1 \\ \tilde{Z}_{\lambda,\mu}(T_{0,0}|t) & 1 \end{vmatrix}}{s_{1,0}(T_{1,0}|T)} = -\frac{T_{13}T_{14}T_{34} - T_{23}T_{14}T_{34} - C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (2,2)}(T)}{T_{23}} = T_{14}^2$$

Hence, we have recovered our previous result from Figure 6.1. Continuing in the same manner with $\nu = (1,1),(2,0),(2,1),(2,2)$ one successively finds the remaining invariants as

$$C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (1,1)}(T) = T_{14}, \quad C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (2,0)}(T) = T_{14}, \quad C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (1,0)}(T) = 1, \quad C^{\lambda,0}_{\mu\ (0,0)}(T) = 0\ .$$

We have deliberately chosen a simple example with $d = 0$ so that all results can be easily checked by the reader using Knutson-Tao puzzles. However, our combinatorial algorithm works also for $q \neq 0$; see Figure 6.3 which shows the path configurations for $\tilde{Z}_{(1,1),(2,1)}(x_1, x_2|t) = q(x_1 - T_4) + q(x_2 - T_1)$. Thus, we find $qC^{(1,1),d=1}_{\mu\ (2,2)}(T) = \tilde{Z}_{(1,1),(2,1)}(T_2, T_1|t) = q(T_2 - T_4)$.

Remark 6.31. *During the writing up of this manuscript two works appeared on different combinatorial approaches to compute Gromov-Witten invariants. The work* [5] *proves a conjecture of Knutson which states that puzzles for two-step flag varieties describe the product of non-equivariant quantum cohomology for Grassmannians, while the work* [2] *describes a generalised rim-hook formula to compute equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants for Grassmannians. We hope to address how these latter combinatorial approaches are related to formula (6.32) in future work.*

6.6. **Relation with Peterson's basis.** Based on Kostant and Kumar's earlier work [28] on the nil-Hecke ring, Dale Peterson constructed a special commutative subalgebra to describe the equivariant Schubert calculus of the *homology* of the affine Grassmannian of an algebraic group G and the *quantum cohomology* of the partial flag variety G/P where $P \subseteq B$ is a parabolic subgroup for a given Borel subgroup $B \subset G$. For completeness we briefly recall its construction; see [46] and [35] for details.

Let W be the finite Weyl group associated with G and $\hat{W} \cong W \rtimes \mathcal{Q}^\vee$ the affine Weyl group where $\mathcal{Q}^\vee$ is the finite coroot lattice. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the corresponding finite weight lattice and set $\boldsymbol{S} = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathcal{P})$ to be the symmetric algebra and $\mathrm{Frac}(\boldsymbol{S})$ the fraction field. $\hat{W}$ acts on $\mathcal{P}$ via the level-0 action, i.e. the affine Weyl reflection acts by reflecting on the hyperplane defined by the negative highest root. Given $w \in \hat{W}$ and a reduced decomposition $w = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_r}$ into simple Weyl reflections define $A_w = A_{j_1} \ldots A_{j_r}$ where $A_j = \alpha_j^{-1}(1 - s_j)$ with α_j the jth simple root. The A_j obey the nil-Coxeter relations. The level zero graded affine nil-Hecke ring $\mathbb{H}$ is then given by $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{w \in \hat{W}} \boldsymbol{S} A_w$ with commutation relations

$$A_j \lambda = (s_j \lambda) A_j + (\lambda, \alpha_j^\vee)\ . \tag{6.35}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{P} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{H}}(\boldsymbol{S})$ the centralizer of $\boldsymbol{S}$ in $\mathbb{H}$. Following the literature on this subject we shall refer to this subalgebra as "Peterson algebra". We will be using the following results which are originally due to Peterson and have been proved in [34, Lem 3.3 and Thm 4.4] [35, Thm 6.2 and Thm 10.16]

The Peterson algebra $\mathbb{P}$ has a special basis $\{\jmath_x : x \in \hat{W}/W\}$ which are the images of equivariant Schubert classes under the isomorphism $H_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G) \cong \mathbb{P}$. Each coset can be labelled in terms of a unique minimal length representative $\hat{w}_x$ and the latter form the set of *Grassmannian affine permutations* $\hat{W}^-$.

Theorem 6.32 (Peterson's basis)**.** *There is an $\boldsymbol{S}$-algebra isomorphism $\jmath : H_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G) \to \mathcal{Z}_{\mathbb{H}}(\boldsymbol{S})$ such that*

$$\jmath(\xi_x) = A_x \bmod J \qquad \text{and} \qquad \jmath(\xi) \cdot \xi' = \xi\xi' \tag{6.36}$$

where $J \subset \mathbb{H}$ is the left ideal $J = \sum_{w \in W \setminus \{id\}} \mathbb{H}A_w$ and $\{\xi_x | x \in \hat{W}/W\}$ are the T-equivariant Schubert classes. For each x the basis element $\jmath_x = \jmath(\xi_x)$ is uniquely fixed by the two properties in (6.36).

Let $\mathrm{Fun}(\hat{W}, \mathrm{Frac}(\boldsymbol{S}))$ be the $\mathrm{Frac}(\boldsymbol{S})$-algebra of functions $\hat{W} \to \mathrm{Frac}(\boldsymbol{S})$ with pointwise product. Recall that the torus T acts on Gr_G by pointwise conjugation. Restricting a class to the T-fixed points gives an injective $\boldsymbol{S}$-algebra homomorphism $\phi : H_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\hat{W}, \boldsymbol{S})$ where the image under ϕ are the functions which satisfy the GKM conditions [21] [28], $\xi(w) - \xi(r_\alpha w) \in \alpha\boldsymbol{S}$ for affine real roots α. Henceforth, we will be identifying Schubert classes with their images under ϕ.

We have the following projection from the homology of the affine Grassmannian to the cohomology of the partial flag variety [35, Thm 10.16]; for further details see *loc. cit.* Let W_P be the Weyl group of the parabolic subgroup and $\hat{W}_P = W_P \rtimes \mathcal{Q}_P^\vee$ its affinisation with $\mathcal{Q}_P^\vee$ being the parabolic coroot lattice. Each affine Weyl group element factorises as $\hat{w} = \hat{w}_P \hat{w}^P$, where $\hat{w}_P \in \hat{W}_P$ and $\hat{w}^P$ is a coset representative in $\hat{W}^P = \hat{W}_P/W_P$ such that $\hat{w}^P = w^P w_P \tau_\lambda$ with $w_P \in W_P$, w^P a minimal length coset representative in W/W_P and τ_λ a translation; compare with [35, Lem 10.1-5]. Let $\pi_P : \hat{W} \to \hat{W}^P$ be the quotient map with $\pi_P(\hat{w}) = \hat{w}^P$. The quotient map has the properties that $\pi_P(W) = W^P$, $\pi_P(w\tau_\lambda) = \pi_P(w)\pi_P(\tau_\lambda)$ and $\pi_P(\tau_{\lambda+\alpha}) = \pi_P(\tau_\lambda)$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_P^\vee$; see [35, Prop 10.8]. The following theorem then states that the quantum cohomology of the partial flag variety G/P can be described as a quotient of the homology of the affine Grassmannian.

Theorem 6.33 ([46, 35, Thm 10.16])**.** *Let J_P be the ideal $J_P = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}^- \setminus \hat{W}^P} S\xi_x$. Then the map $\psi_P : H_T(\mathrm{Gr}_G)/J_P \to QH_T^*(G/P)$ defined by $\xi_{w\pi_P(\tau_\lambda)} \mapsto q_{\eta_P(\lambda)}\sigma^w$ becomes an $\boldsymbol{S}$-algebra isomorphism after the appropriate localisation, where η_P is the natural projection $\mathcal{Q}^\vee \to \mathcal{Q}^\vee/\mathcal{Q}_P^\vee$ and $w \in W^P$ is a minimal length representative of coset in W/W_P.*

We now specialise to $G = SL_N$, $\hat{W} = \hat{\mathbb{S}}_N$, $W = \mathbb{S}_N$ and let $P \subset SL_N$ be the subgroup which maps the subspace $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ spanned by the first n-basis vectors into itself. Then Peterson's representation becomes the representation in terms of divided difference operators (3.2), $A_j = \partial_j$, and $\boldsymbol{S}$ can be identified with the polynomial ring Λ of the equivariant parameters.

There is a bijection between partitions $\lambda \in \Pi_{n,k}$ and minimal length representatives of the cosets in $\mathbb{S}_N/\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{S}_k$: let $w^\lambda \in \mathbb{S}_N$ be the permutation defined by $w^\lambda(i) = \lambda_{n+1-i} + i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $w^\lambda(i+n) = \lambda'_{k+1-i} + i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. After the projection onto $QH_T^*(G/P)$ the Peterson basis elements can be labelled by minimal length representatives and powers in the quantum parameter q. Given w^λ let $\hat{w}^\lambda$ be the affine Grassmannian permutation with $\pi_P(\hat{w}^\lambda) = w^\lambda$.

Proposition 6.34. *Let $Y_\alpha = \mathfrak{e}(y_\alpha)^{-1}|y_\alpha\rangle$ be the renormalised Bethe vectors. Given any $\lambda, \mu \in \Pi_{n,k}$ we have the following relation between Peterson's basis and the non-commutative Schur polynomials (6.1),*

$$|\lambda\rangle \circledast |\mu\rangle = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}} s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)\ (\tilde{S}_\lambda Y_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}} (j_{\hat{w}^\lambda} s_\mu(y_\alpha|t))\ Y_\alpha\ . \tag{6.37}$$

Proof. From (5.31) it follows that we can identify the coefficients $\xi_\mu = \{s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)\}_{\alpha\in\Pi_{n,k}}$ of the Bethe vectors with a localised Schubert class. As we saw earlier, Lemma 6.2, $\tilde{S}_\lambda$ acts on a Bethe vector by multiplying it with $s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)$. From the above theorems we know that the Peterson basis element $j_{\hat{w}^\lambda}$ also acts by multiplication with the GKM class $\xi_\lambda(y_\alpha) = s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)$. So, starting from (5.32) we obtain

$$\tilde{S}_\lambda|\mu\rangle = \sum_\alpha s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)\tilde{S}_\lambda Y_\alpha = \sum_\alpha s_\lambda(y_\alpha|t)s_\mu(y_\alpha|t)Y_\alpha = \sum_\alpha (j_{\hat{w}^\lambda} s_\mu(y_\alpha|t))Y_\alpha\ .$$

□

Remark 6.35. *Our construction has the following natural generalisation. Let $\mathcal{V}_P = \mathrm{Fun}(W^P, \mathrm{Frac}(\boldsymbol{S}))\otimes\mathbb{Z}W^P$. For any partial flag variety G/P we define a set of commutative elements $\{S_w|w\in W^P\}\subset \mathrm{End}\,\mathcal{V}_P$ by demanding that for any $u, v\in W^P$ we have*

$$\sum_{u\in W^P} \xi_v(u)\otimes S_w Y_u = \sum_{u\in W^P} (\jmath_w \xi_v(u))\otimes Y_u$$

where $\{Y_u|u\in W^P\}$ are the idempotents of a suitable extension of $QH^*_T(G/P)$ over an algebraically closed field, ξ_v the GKM class corresponding to v and $\jmath_w$ the projected Peterson basis element. Then the map

$$|v\rangle := \sum_{u\in W^P} \xi_v(u)\otimes Y_u \mapsto \sigma_v$$

provides an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_P \to QH^*_T(G/P)$ where the product in $\mathcal{V}_P$ is given by $|w\rangle\circledast|v\rangle = S_w|v\rangle$. The open problem is to find an explicit description of the operators $\{S_w|w\in W^P\}$ for partial flag varieties other than type A.

References

[1] Braverman, A. , D. Maulik, and A. Okounkov. "Quantum cohomology of the Springer resolution", Preprint (2010), 1–35. arXiv preprint arXiv:1001.0056.

[2] Beazley, E., A. Bertiger and K. Taipale, "An equivariant rim hook rule for quantum cohomology of Grassmannians" extended abstract, submitted to DMTCS.

[3] Bertram, A. "Quantum Schubert calculus", Adv. Math. 128 (2) (1997) 289–305.

[4] Buch, A. S. "Quantum cohomology of Grassmannians", Compos. Math. 137 (2) (2003) 227–235.

[5] Buch, A. S., A. Kresch, K. Purbhoo, H. Tamvakis, "The puzzle conjecture for the cohomology of two-step flag manifolds", arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.1725 (2014).

[6] Buch, A. S., A. Kresch, H. Tamvakis, "Gromov–Witten invariants on Grassmannians", J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (4) (2003) 901–915 (electronic).

[7] Buch, A. S. and L. C. Mihalcea, "Quantum K-theory of Grassmannians", Duke Math. J. Volume 156, Number 3 (2011), 501-538

[8] Buch, A. S. "A Littlewood-Richardson rule for the K-theory of Grassmannians", Acta Mathematica 2002, Volume 189, Issue 1, pp 37-78

[9] Brubaker, B., D. Bump, S. Friedberg, "Schur Polynomials and The Yang-Baxter Equation", Commun. Math. Phys. 308, 281–301 (2011); Daniel Bump, Peter J. McNamara, Maki Nakasuji, "Factorial Schur functions and the Yang-Baxter equation"; arXiv:1108.3087

[10] Brak, R. "Osculating lattice paths and alternating sign matrices." In Proceeding of Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, vol. 9, p. 120. 1997.
[11] Fisher, M. E. "Walks, walls, wetting, and melting." Journal of Statistical Physics 34, no. 5-6 (1984): 667-729.
[12] Fomin, S. and R.P. Stanley, Schubert polynomials and the nilCoxeter algebra, Adv. in Math. 103 (1994) 196-207.
[13] Frenkel, I. B.; Reshetikhin, N. Yu. (1992), "Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations", Comm. Math. Phys. 146 (1): 1–60.
[14] Gepner, D. "Fusion rings and geometry." Communications in Mathematical Physics 141, no. 2 (1991): 381-411.
[15] Gessel, Ira, and Christian Krattenthaler. "Cylindric partitions." Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 349, no. 2 (1997): 429-479.
[16] Gorbounov, V., and V. Petrov. "Schubert calculus and singularity theory." Journal of Geometry and Physics 62, no. 2 (2012): 352-360.
[17] Gorbounov, V., R. Rimanyi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. "Cohomology of the cotangent bundle of a flag variety as a Yangian Bethe algebra." arXiv preprint arXiv:1204.5138 (2012).
[18] Givental, A. and B. Kim. "Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices". Comm. Math. Phys., 168:609–641, 1995
[19] Ginzburg, V. and É. Vasserot. "Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n." International Mathematics Research Notices 1993, no. 3 (1993): 67-85.
[20] Ginzburg, V. "Geometric methods in the representation theory of Hecke algebras and quantum groups." In Representation Theories and Algebraic Geometry, pp. 127-183. Springer Netherlands, 1998.
[21] Goresky, M., R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson. "Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem." Inventiones mathematicae 131, no. 1 (1997): 25-83.
[22] Intriligator, K. "Fusion residues", Modern Phys. Lett. A 6 (38) (1991) 3543–3556.
[23] Kim, B. "Quantum cohomology of partial flag manifolds and a residue formula for their intersection pairings". IMRN, (1):1–15, 1995.
[24] Kim, B. "On equivariant quantum cohomology". IMRN, 17:841–851, 1996.
[25] Fomin, S. and R. P. Stanley. "Schubert polynomials and the nilCoxeter algebra." Advances in Mathematics 103, no. 2 (1994): 196-207.
[26] Fomin, S. and A. N. Kirillov. "The Yang-Baxter equation, symmetric functions, and Schubert polynomials." Discrete Mathematics 153, no. 1 (1996): 123-143.
[27] Knutson, A. and Terence Tao. "Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians." Duke Mathematical Journal 119, no. 2 (2003): 221-260.
[28] Kostant, B. and S. Kumar. "The nil Hecke ring and cohomology of G/P for a Kac-Moody group G." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 83, no. 6 (1986): 1543-1545.
[29] Korff, C. "Quantum cohomology via vicious and osculating walkers." Accepted for publication in Lett Math Phys; arXiv preprint arXiv:1204.4109 (2012).
[30] Korff, C. and C. Stroppel. "The-WZNW fusion ring: A combinatorial construction and a realisation as quotient of quantum cohomology." Advances in Mathematics 225, no. 1 (2010): 200-268.
[31] Lakshmibai, V. and K. N. Raghavan, P. Sankaran, "Equivariant Giambelli and determinantal restriction formulas for the Grassmannian", Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly, 2 (2006) 699-717
[32] Laksov, D. "Schubert calculus and equivariant cohomology of Grassmannians", Adv. Math. 217 (2008) 1869-1888
[33] Lam, T. "Affine Stanley symmetric functions", American Journal of Mathematics 128 (2006) 1553–1586
[34] Lam, T. "Schubert polynomials for the affine Grassmannian." Journal of the American Mathematical Society 21, no. 1 (2008): 259-281.
[35] Lam, T. and M. Shimozono. "Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian." Acta mathematica 204, no. 1 (2010): 49-90.
[36] Lam, T., A. Schilling, M. Shimozono, "K-theory Schubert calculus of the affine Grassmannian", Compositio Math. 146 (2010), 811-852
[37] Lascoux, Alain. "Anneau de Grothendieck de la variété de drapeaux." In The Grothendieck Festschrift Volume III, pp. 1-34. Birkhäuser Boston, 2007.

[38] Macdonald, I. G. "Schur functions: theme and variations", Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (Saint-Nabor, 1992) 498 (1992): 5-39
[39] Macdonald, I. G. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford University Press on Demand, 1998.
[40] Maulik, D., and A. Okounkov. "Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology", Preprint (2012), 1–276. arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.1287.
[41] Mihalcea, L. C. "Giambelli formulae for the equivariant quantum cohomology of the Grassmannian." Transactions of AMS 360 (2008), no. 5, 2285–2301; arXiv:math/0506335
[42] Mihalcea, L. C. "Positivity in Equivariant Schubert Calculus", American J Math 128 (2006), no. 3, 787-803
[43] Mihalcea, L. C. "Equivariant quantum Schubert calculus", Advances in Mathematics 203 (2006), no. 1, 1 - 33
[44] Molev, A. and B. Sagan. "A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions." Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 351, no. 11 (1999): 4429-4443.
[45] Nekrasov, Nikita A., and Samson L. Shatashvili. "Supersymmetric Vacua and Bethe Ansatz." Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements 192 (2009): 91-112; "Quantum Integrability and Supersymmetric Vacua." Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement 177 (2009): 105-119.
[46] Peterson, D. "Quantum cohomology of G/P", Lecture notes, M.I.T., Spring 1997. (unpublished)
[47] Postnikov, A. "Affine approach to quantum Schubert calculus", Duke Math. J. 128 (3) (2005) 473–509.
[48] Rietsch, K. "A mirror symmetric construction of $qH^*_T(G/P)_{(q)}$." Advances in Mathematics 217, no. 6 (2008): 2401-2442
[49] Rimanyi, R., V. Schechtman, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. "Cohomology of a flag variety as a Bethe algebra." Functional Analysis and Its Applications 45, no. 4 (2011): 252-264.
[50] Smirnov, F. A. "Form factors in completely integrable models of quantum field theory." Vol. 14. World Scientific, 1992.
[51] Tymoczko, J. S. "Divided Difference Operators for Partial Flag Varieties"; arXiv:0912.2545
[52] Vafa, C. "Topological mirrors and quantum rings", in: Essays on Mirror Manifolds, Int. Press, Hong Kong, 1992, pp. 96–119.
[53] Lerche, W., C. Vafa, and N. P. Warner. "Chiral rings in N = 2 superconformal theories." Nuclear Physics B 324, no. 2 (1989): 427-474.
[54] Vasserot, E. "Affine quantum groups and equivariant K-theory." Transformation groups 3, no. 3 (1998): 269-299.
[55] Witten, E. "The Verlinde algebra and the cohomology of the Grassmannian", in: Geometry, Topology, & Physics, in: Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, vol. IV, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995, pp. 357–422.

(V. G.) Institute of Mathematics, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK
E-mail address, V.G.: v.gorbounov@abdn.ac.uk

Current address, C. K.: School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, 15 University Gardens, Glasgow G12 8QW, UK
E-mail address, C. K.: christian.korff@glasgow.ac.uk
URL: http://www.maths.gla.ac.uk/~ck/